

Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Mwea Constituency Kirinyaga County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Executive Summary	3
	Table 1: CDF Allocations to Mwea Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08	3
3.0	Recommendations for Hon. Peter Njuguna Gitau and Mwea CDFC	4
	Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08	5
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	6
	Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08	
	Category A projects	9 - 13
	Category B projects	14 - 16
	Category C projects	17 - 20
	Category D projects	21
	Category G projects	23
	Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form	24
	Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire	25
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	27
	Annex 5 to 9: Correspondence between NTA and Member of Parliament	28 - 32

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located at the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 82 constituencies from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Mwea Constituency. The report covers one government Financial Year 2007/08.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and October 2010.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Mwea Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Kshs. 153,940,611** has been allocated to the constituency since Financial Year 2003/04 to Financial Year 2007/08.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Mwea Constituency are listed in Table 2 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- Correspondence with the area Member of Parliament on the Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 5 to Annex 9.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Mwea Constituency Financial Years 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Mwea	6,000,000	25,127,316	32,497,266	45,018,984	45,297,045	153,940,611

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:

Kshs. 5,950,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects

16% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects

Kshs. 600,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted on abandoned projects

2% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on abandoned projects

Kshs. 3,454,521 of taxpayers' money is unused due to delayed implementation of projects

10% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on unimplemented projects

3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Peter Njuguna Gitau and Mwea CDFC

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects.
2. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects.
3. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007- 08 in Mwea Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For	Balance in Project Bank Account
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	17	15,564,200	15,564,200	-	-
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	11	5,950,000	5,950,000	-	-
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	14	10,776,900	10,776,900	-	-
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year.	2	600,000	600,000	-	-
G	Delayed implementation- The project was officially allocated funds but the implementation has not started and funds are in the project account.	4	3,454,521	-	-	3,454,521
	Total	48	36,345,621	32,891,100	-	3,454,521

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	5,950,000	16%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	600,000	2%
Total Money Unspent (G Projects)	3,454,521	10%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Research Officers held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2008/09.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Research Officers visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2008/09. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 3)¹.

Desk-based Project Classification

Upon returning from the field NTA Research Officers analysed the data collected and then classified all projects into one of the following five categories:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 3)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is incomplete.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

Category G Projects - Delayed implementation

The project was officially allocated funds but the implementation has not started and funds are in the project account.

¹ The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

² The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

4. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

5. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.

6. Comments and Review

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments. The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.


In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments. The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.


Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and Digital Photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08


Member of Parliament


2002 - 2007	Hon. Alfred Nderitu
2008 - 2012	Hon. Peter Njuguna Gitau


Project Number	CDF/M/003/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Karii-Nyangati bridge				
Project Activity	Construction of a bridge				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	66%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This was a rehabilitation project. It is complete and in use although the guard rails would have been steel type as the timber will rot and give away. The floor deck is however well done and represents good value for taxpayers' money.					


Project Number	CDF/M/007/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Gathigiriri-nyamindi road				
Project Activity	Road grading				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	450,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	450,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	80%			Project Status	Complete
Comments: Good work done in good time. The quality of materials used is of good quality but it is important to point out that maintenance of the drainage system should be routine. This is a well implemented project and the community members are reaping the benefits.					


Project Number	CDF/M/018/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Mikimaini water project				
Project Activity	Borehole and water tank stand construction				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	6/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,700,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,700,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	70%			Project Status	Complete
Comments: The borehole and water tower are both operational. The project has been completed on time and within the budget but managing will be a challenge due to the recurrent cost of electricity and pump maintenance.					


Project Number	CDF/M/019/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Muthigiti-Nderwa-Ruthiga				
Project Activity	Road grading				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	76%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This road is passable even during wet weather and that is why it qualifies for a well implemented project. Real value for money has been realized as the surface has been professionally done. The movement of area residents has been made very convenient with the completion of Muthigiti-Nderwa-Ruthiga road.					


Project Number	CDF/M/020/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Muthigiti-Mahigaini				
Project Activity	Road grading				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	850,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	850,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	77%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This is an all-weather road, a big asset to an agricultural community like this one. Grading is well done and maintenance of the drainage should be frequently done to increase the life of the road. Its a job well done.					


Project Number	CDF/M/011/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Wanguru girls secondary school				
Project Activity	Ablution block construction.				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure has been well built using good quality materials. The ablution block was identified by the PTA and certified by the school Board of Governors (BOG). It was completed in good time and represents full value for money allocated.					


Project Number	CDF/M/009/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Gathigiriri primary school				
Project Activity	Construction of classrooms.				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	63%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: There was a major contribution from the parents who funded the classrooms upto the lintel level. CDF funds roofed and did the floor. Although the completion was on time, the real value of the CDF funds can only be known if the parents contribution is deducted.					


Project Number	CDF/M/023/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	St Mary primary school				
Project Activity	Renovation of classrooms				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	90%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This project has added value to the school substantially. This is a case of money well spent with high quality workmanship, high quality materials and generally very good implementation. The community is very happy with the project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/025/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Thiba secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of Class rooms				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: Congestion has definitely eased up in this school. This is good work although drainage will be required around the classrooms to drain water away from the building. Value for money has been realized for a project that was identified by the parents of Thiba Secondary school.					


Project Number	CDF/M/028/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Katura young farmers				
Project Activity	Construction of intake				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	6/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	550,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	550,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	76%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: It is a well implemented project although other rules and regulations governing the drawing of water from rivers must be adhered to. The permitted quantity should be the amount drawn to avoid outcry by downstream consumers.					


Project Number	CDF/M/030/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Murinduko secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of a laboratory				
Location/Ward	Murinduko				
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	83%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: Combined efforts of the parents and the CDF has produced a valuable structure that will benefit this community for many years to come. It is important to note that the parents provided building materials, which supplemented the CDF funding. This is good value for money.					


Project Number	CDF/M/035/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Thiba Dispensary				
Project Activity	Fencing the dispensary				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,014,200				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,014,200				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	73%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The dispensary has been well fenced and flowers planted to increase the aesthetic beauty. Security at this dispensary has been greatly boosted and this is bound to attract more health workers hence added value to the community members.					


Project Number	CDF/M/040/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Nguka primary school				
Project Activity	Construction of an ablution block				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	86%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The ablution block is well constructed but the urinal should have been widened to accommodate more users. Other than that shortcoming, full value for money has been realized and the pupils of Nguka Primary School are now enjoying good sanitation facilities.					


Project Number	CDF/M/043/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kagio primary school				
Project Activity	Construction of an ablution block				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	90%			Project Status	Complete
Comments: The block is a bit expensive but has been excellently done. The students and staff have a general feeling of satisfaction for the work done. This qualifies for a well implemented project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/045/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Nyangati-magumoini				
Project Activity	Grading work				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	11/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	200,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	200,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The grading works are within the stipulated budget, with a drainage well demarcated and done. Assuming this road is not damaged by storm water, it will serve the residents of Nyangati for a long time.					


Project Number	CDF/M/049/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ngothi-kianyaga -rukanga				
Project Activity	Grading work				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	12/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This road, which was impassable during the rainy season, is now all-weather. It has proved to be a big asset to this agricultural based community. The only area that will need extra attention is the drainage; good drainage always gives a road a longer life.					


Project Number	CDF/M/048/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Rukanga AP line				
Project Activity	Construction of AP houses				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	12/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	A
Technical Assessment score.	85%			Project Status	Complete and in use
<p>Comments: Decent housing was lacking for administration police in the area and thus the implementation of this project. The two units funded in this project are well done and within the budget, full value for money has been attained.</p>					


Project Number	CDF/M/004/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ciagini dispensary				
Project Activity	Construction work for M.C.H				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	47%			Project Status	Incomplete
Comments: The work on this project is poorly done as the structure does not have any features of a dispensary. The roof is unprofessionally done and will have to be demolished and re-done. This is a total waste of Kshs. 400,000.					


Project Number	CDF/M/006/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ginnery kiamanyeki road.				
Project Activity	Road grading.				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	550,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	550,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	46%			Project Status	Incomplete
Comments: All road projects should give drainage a priority. In this particular project, only about 500metres has been gravelled but the drainage still not attended to. The funds would have been utilized to complete this project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/012/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Silanga-kiorugari road				
Project Activity	Grading work				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	6/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	13%			Project Status	Incomplete
Comments: This is very shoddy work! The culverts are poorly constructed and poorly sited hence cannot drain water properly. The road has no value to the community. This is wasted allocation.					


Project Number	CDF/M/016/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ndindiruku murrum road				
Project Activity	Grading work				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	25/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	47%			Project Status	Does not exist.
Comments: The grading is poorly done and only 500 metres have been covered. Proper drainage is lacking meaning the road floods during the rainy season. This is a case of a poorly implemented project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/022/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kombuini secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of classes				
Location/Ward	Kangai				
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	43%			Project Status	Ongoing
<p>Comments: Kshs. 500,000 for one classroom is on the higher side. Although the project was well implemented, with expensive roofing and beautiful paint work, the cost was prohibitive.</p>					


Project Number	CDF/M/027/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Mucii-wa-urata youth				
Project Activity	Construction of classrooms				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	40%			Project Status	Incomplete and not in use.
<p>Comments: Although the workmanship and the materials used are of good quality, the single classroom is too costly to add value to the school.</p>					


Project Number	CDF/M/031/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Mumbuini secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of classrooms				
Location/Ward	Murinduko				
Date of Assessment	25/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	43%			Project Status	Ongoing and not in use.
<p>Comments: Poor implementation is evident in this project. The amount spent should have been enough to complete 3 classrooms and even started on the fourth one. The relevant authority should account for the funds spent because this is a complete waste of taxpayers' money.</p>					


Project Number	CDF/M/032/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Gathigi-ini ECD primary school				
Project Activity	Construction of toilets and classrooms.				
Location/Ward	Murinduko				
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	36%			Project Status	Complete and in use
<p>Comments: Standard classroom designs need to be adopted where the finishes and cost must be the same. Otherwise, taxpayers' money will be disappearing on shoddy projects like this one.</p>					


Project Number	CDF/M/042/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kandongu primary school				
Project Activity	Construction of an ablution block				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	40%			Project Status	Incomplete and not in use.
Comments: The completion of this project has delayed. The funds awarded were enough to ensure full implementation. There are insufficient toilets in Kangondu Primary and with this structure in this state, the school community is yet to benefit.					


Project Number	CDF/M/038/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kathiriti secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of classrooms				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	43%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: Although well implemented, the cost is too high for one classroom.					


Project Number	CDF/M/044/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ahiti-ndomba primary school				
Project Activity	Classroom construction				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	11/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	B
Technical Assessment score.	20%			Project Status	Incomplete and in use.
Comments: There is no reason for not completing this project yet the funds were enough. Although the classrooms are in use, the state they are in represents no value for money spent. Poor quality of workmanship is evident in the roofing, which will obviously not last. This is a complete waste of taxpayers' money.					


Project Number	CDF/M/001/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kimbimbi Kiumbu Road				
Project Activity	Grading Works				
Location	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	6/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	60%			Project Status	Ongoing and in use.
Comments: The project was identified during a community meeting. Though ongoing, work done is within the budget. The surface is well graded although the drains have already been covered with grass. This needs to be addressed urgently.					


Project Number	CDF/M/002/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	District Show				
Project Activity	Exhibition				
Location	Kabiroini/Nyeri				
Date of Assessment	11/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	330,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	330,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	60%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: A DDC and CDF committee meeting brought forth the idea of this project. The materials used are of good quality but the timber needs treatment for durability. On the assumption that all constituencies contributed towards the project, it will definitely help market the districts agricultural products through exhibitions.					


Project Number	CDF/M/005/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ngurubaini market				
Project Activity	Drainage improvement				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,800,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,800,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment/Classification	66%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: Although the road improvement has been done, drainage works are yet to commence. So far, only 1 Km of the road has been worked on, this implies the project implementation is behind schedule. The concerned persons should speed up completion so that the community members can get value for their money.					


Project Number	CDF/M/008/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Gathigiriri Dispensary				
Project Activity	Perimeter fencing.				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	62%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: This is a community owned project that has been so far well implemented. The gate and the side walls are well done and the support columns are very strong. Once done, insecurity will no longer be causing the community members sleepless nights.					


Project Number	CDF/M/015/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ndindiruku secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of a kitchen				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	25/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	65%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: The project cost is within the allocated funds. The work is so far fairly done but requires additional funding to complete. A few cracks are evident on the wall which may be due to the roof run-off. This can be arrested by harvesting roof water.					


Project Number	CDF/M/017/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ndindiruku Ap post				
Project Activity	Construction of a Ap post				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	25/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	50%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: The ground slab level should have been raised by one course. All in all, the community is set to benefit on completion of this project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/034/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Thiba AP line				
Project Activity	Construction of AP houses				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	940,500				
Total Funds Spent to Date	940,500				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	66%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: The project is so far within the budget and when completed, it will provide good quarters for the Administration Police. Community participation was through the CDF committee.					


Project Number	CDF/M/036/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Nyangati secondary school				
Project Activity	Construction of a laboratory				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	600,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	600,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	73%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: The work is now in the roofing stage and it is within the budget. The quality of workmanship is so far fair although the walling is not so appealing. The roofing trusses on site also need to be treated with anti-borer chemical to prevent damage by insects.					


Project Number	CDF/M/037/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kithiriti primary school				
Project Activity	Classroom construction				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,250,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,250,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	70%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: Very little is remaining to finish up the classrooms. Good workmanship is evident for both the roofing and walling. The School Management Committee forwarded proposals to the CDF for construction of additional classrooms to ease congestion in the school.					


Project Number	CDF/M/039/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kithiriti-musangondi water project				
Project Activity	Intake construction				
Location/Ward	Nyangati				
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	56%			Project Status	Ongoing and not in use.
Comments: The money allocated is too little to complete a massive project like this one. Further funding is urgently needed to ensure value for taxpayers' funds is realized. The community is yet to feel the impact of this CDF funded project.					


Project Number	CDF/M/041/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kandongu Dispensary				
Project Activity	MCH construction				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	60%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: The work done so far is fairly good but proper propping of the verandah roof is needed. Further funding is needed to complete this project and to ensure that it benefits the community members.					

Project Number	CDF/M/046/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Rukanga dispensary				
Project Activity	Electricity installation				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	11/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	56,400				
Total Funds Spent to Date	56,400				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Category	C
Technical Assessment score.	60%			Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: Completion of this project will mean patients can be attended to 24hours a day. Electrical fillings are okay but the meter box is not up to standard. More funding is required to ensure complete installation of electricity.					

Project Number	CDF/M/047/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Rukanga secondary school		
Project Activity	Classroom and lab construction		
Location/Ward	Mutithi		
Date of Assessment	11/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,600,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,600,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Assessment score.	83%	Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: So far, the classrooms are complete but work in the laboratory is still ongoing. Congestion in the school will be a thing of the past once the project is completed.			

Project Number	CDF/M/051/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Kangaru Ngwataniro		
Project Activity	Purchasing pipes		
Location/Ward	Mutithi		
Date of Assessment	10/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,100,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,100,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Assessment score.	60%	Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: Although incomplete, some homesteads have already been connected with water. The pressure of the water seems a bit low. The residents of Mutithi are looking forward to the days when water will be flowing freely from their taps.			


Project Number	CDF/M/024/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Karira primary school		
Project Activity	Construction of two classrooms		
Location/Ward	Thiba		
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	300,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	300,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Category	D
Technical Assessment score.	56%	Project Status	Ongoing
Comments: This project has gone on for too long. It is not adding any value to the community in its present state. Although well done so far, exposure to elements of weather is not good for the masonry walling. Completion should be given priority.			


Project Number	CDF/M/026/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Kirogo primary school		
Project Activity	Hall completion		
Location/Ward	Ndiritu		
Date of Assessment	Tebere		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	300,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	300,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Category	D
Technical Assessment score.	53%	Project Status	Incomplete and not in use.
Comments: This is an abandoned project. Allocations have not been forthcoming forcing the construction work to stall, a mistake that the CDFC should correct.			

Project Number	CDF/M/010/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Ndiki water project		
Project Activity	Trenching main line		
Location/Ward	Tebere		
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	7,262,855		
Total Funds Spent to Date	-		
Total Unaccounted Funds	-	Category	F
Technical Assessment score	N/A.	Project Status	Funds reallocated
Comments: Funds meant for this project were reallocated to several projects some of which include; Kangara water project, Kiarukungu - Kanyangeini Furrow, construction of the constituency office among others. Refer to Annex 8 and 9.			

Project Number	CDF/M/014/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Kiratina water project		
Project Activity	Intake construction		
Location/Ward	Thiba		
Date of Assessment	9/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,400,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	-		
Total Unaccounted Funds	-	Category	F
Technical Assessment score.	N/A.	Project Status	Funds reallocated.
Comments: There was a reallocation of Kshs. 700,000 to various projects among them; Kamuchege bridge, Mukou Primary School, Kimbimbi Mwangaza Water Project among others. The remaining Kshs. 700,000 has already started construction of an intake at the site. Refer to Annex 8.			

Project Number	CDF/M/033/07-08		
Constituency	Mwea		
Project Name	Kimbimbi water project		
Project Activity	Intake construction		
Location/Ward	Nyangati		
Date of Assessment	25/10/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	-		
Total Unaccounted Funds	-	Category	F
Technical Assessment score.	N/A	Project Status	Funds reallocated
Comments: No CDF funds were spent on this project. The CDF Board approved the reallocation of this funds from Kimbimbi Water Project to the purchase of a motor vehicle for the Mwea CDF office.			

Project Number	CDF/M/021/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ndaba-nyua bridge				
Project Activity	Construction of a bridge				
Location/Ward	Kangai				
Date of Assessment	5/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	884,127				
Total Funds Spent to Date	-				
Total Funds in Bank Account	884,127			Category	G
Technical Assessment score.	N/A			Project Status	Does not exist.
Comments: The photograph shows the proposed site for construction of the aforementioned bridge. The funds, however are still in the CDF account pending commencement of work at this site.					

Project Number	CDF/M/029/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Kamuchege bahati foot bridge				
Project Activity	Bridge construction				
Location/Ward	Tebere				
Date of Assessment	6/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	570,394				
Total Funds Spent to Date	-				
Total Funds in Bank Account	570,394			Category	G
Technical Assessment score.	N/A.			Project Status	Does not exist.
Comments: The work seen on the photograph above represents the efforts of the Ministry of Roads and Public Works. The CDF funds allocated are to be used to grade the road, which can only be done after construction of the intake which is taking long to complete.					

Project Number	CDF/M/013/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ciagini kwihota borehole				
Project Activity	Borehole drilling				
Location/Ward	Thiba				
Date of Assessment	8/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	-				
Total Funds in Bank Account	1,000,000			Category	G
Technical Assessment score	N/A			Project Status	Does not exist.
Comments: NTA was able to confirm that the funds meant for this project were still in the Mwea CDF account at the time of our audit.					

Project Number	CDF/M/050/07-08				
Constituency	Mwea				
Project Name	Ngothi borehole				
Project Activity	Borehole drilling				
Location/Ward	Mutithi				
Date of Assessment	12/11/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	-				
Total Funds in Bank Account	1,000,000			Category	G
Technical Assessment score.	N/A			Project Status	Does not exist.
Comments: The funds for this project had not been released at the time of NTA's audit. The money was still in Mwea CDF account.					

Annex 2
CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
MPs Name (2007)		MPs Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist
-----------------------	-----------------	--	---------------------------	--	----------------	--	-----------------------

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
---	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
---	--

Total Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
---	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/com- munity/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of con- struction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
-------------------------	--	-----------------------	--

Annex 3

CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of RO

Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?	_____		
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 4

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)	%	Project No.	
Project Type (LATF/CDF)		Project No.	

Project Name		Constituency Name	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

District Name		Location / Ward Name	
----------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time:

Annex 5



9th August, 2010

Hon. Peter Njuguna Gitau,
Member of Parliament for Mwea Constituency,
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Gitau,

RE: MWEA CONSTITUENCY CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. So far, the NTA has published 46 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 46 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 3 of NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 30 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Mwea**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Mwea, the NTA wishes to notify you of the exercise and requests your support to make it a success. The support we require for instance may include up-to-date constituency project information and copies of supporting documentation such as project reallocation letters among others where necessary.

The NTA is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public and seeks to improve the performance of CDF in Mwea constituency. For any clarification, please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours faithfully,

Kennedy Masime,
National Secretary, NTA &
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)

c.c.

Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer, CDF Coordination Board

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3661813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke



March 14, 2011.

The Chairman
CDFC.
Mwea Constituency.
KIRINYAGA

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: MWEA CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD - APPRECIATION

NTA wish to thank you for finding time to review the report and sending us your comments.

The comments will indeed add value to the final report. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

NTA is committed to objective and evidence-based reporting on CDF performance. Once again we reiterate our appreciation and look forward to solidifying this partnership.

Yours Faithfully,

Kizito Wangalwa,
**National Coordinator,
National Taxpayers Association (NTA).**

C.C. Hon.Peter Njuguna Gitau
Member of Parliament,
Mwea Constituency
Nairobi

CC. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer
CDF Coordination Board
Harambee Co-operative Plaza
10th Floor
Nairobi.

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCF5) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3661813/4. Website:www.nta.or.ke

Annex 7



REPUBLIC OF KENYA
CONSTITUENCIES DEVELOPMENT FUND
BOARD SECRETARIAT

Harambee Plaza
10th Floor
Junction of Hailie Sellasie Avenue & Uhuru Highway
E-mail: cdf@wananchi.com
NAIROBI

Visit Our Website
<http://www.cdf.go.ke>

P.O Box 46682-00100
Tel: 020-2230015/9, 2230027, 2230032
Cell/ton :0712464160 & 0734268114
Fax:020-2230029
NAIROBI

CDF BOARD/MWEA/VOL.IV/67

NOVEMBER 11, 2010

The Fund Account Manager
Mwea Constituency Development Fund
P.O. Box 99
WANGURU


RE: REALLOCATION OF FUNDS

Reference is made to your letter dated 20th September, 2010 on the above.

Further to the Constituency Development Fund Committee's recommendations in a meeting held on 13th September, 2010 vide Min No.235/13/9/2010, the CDF Board in a meeting held on 27th October, 2010 approved the CDFC's request for reallocation of funds allocated in financial year 2008/09 as follows:-

Proposed/Approved Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated from)	Projects	Reallocation Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated to)
1. Kutus Kshs.2,000,000	Dispensary	1. Kimbimbi Borehole Project, Kshs 1,200,000 – Sinking borehole, pump and tanks
2. Kabiriri Kshs.500,000	Kiandegwa Bridge	2. Good Samaritan Sec 3ch, Kshs.800,000 – Computer lab construction
3. Kamuchege Kshs 1,470,394	Bridge	3. Mutumia Mumwea Water Project, Kshs 350,000 – Purchase of water pipes
		4. Ithiga ria njuki Pry Sch, Kshs. 150,000 – Completion of classroom
		5. Kamuchege babati road, Kshs 1,470,394 – Heavy grading, road expansion and gravelling

By a copy of this letter, the District Development Officer, Kirinyaga South is requested to reallocate the funds accordingly.


Elizabeth Kitundu
For: CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Copy to: The District Development Officer
Kirinyaga South District

The Secretary
Mwea Constituency Development Fund Committee

Vision: To be the most effective and efficient institution in the delivery and utilization of public resources.



**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
CONSTITUENCIES DEVELOPMENT FUND
BOARD SECRETARIAT**

Harambee Plaza
10th Floor
Junction of Hailu Sellasia Avenue & Uhuru Highway
E mail: cdf@wananchi.com
NAIROBI

Visit Our Website
<http://www.cdf.go.ke>

P.O Box 46662-00100
Tel: 020-2230015/9, 2230077, 2230932
Cell: 0712464160 & 0734260114
Fax: 020-2230029
NAIROBI

CDF BOARD/MWEA/VOL.II/32

MAY 13, 2009

The Secretary
Mwea Constituency Development Fund Committee
P.O. Box 99
WANGURU

CDF M/010/07/08 - Ndikiki
9 CDF M/014/07-08 Kiratina

RE: REALLOCATION OF FUNDS

Reference is made to your letter dated 4th May, 2009 on the above.

Further to the Constituency Development Fund Committee's recommendations in a meeting held on 17th March, 2009 vide Min No.97/17/3/09, the CDF Board in its meeting held on 8th May, 2009 approved the reallocation of funds allocated in financial year 2007/2008 as follows:-

Proposed/Approved Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated from)	Reallocation Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated to)
1. Ndikiki Water Project, Kshs.4,000,000.00	1. Kangara Water Project, Kshs.500,000.00 - Purchase of pipes
2. Kiratina Water Project, Kshs.700,000.00	2. Kiarukungu Kanyangeini Furrow, Kshs.500,000.00 - Trenching
	3. Constituency Office, Kshs.800,000.00 - Waiting bay, store and fencing
	4. Location Notice Boards, Kshs.200,000.00 - Erecting notice boards
	5. Wang'uru Gachuriri Bridge, Kshs.600,000.00 - Slab construction, railings and finishes
	6. Kamuchege Bridge, Kshs.400,000.00 - Construction of the bridge
	7. Mukou Primary Sch, Kshs.700,000.00 - Construction of 15 pit latrines
	8. Kimbimbi Mwangaza Water Project, Kshs.1,000,000.00 - Intake construction.

Vision: To be the most effective and efficient institution in the delivery and utilization of public resources.



**REPUBLIC OF KENYA
CONSTITUENCIES DEVELOPMENT FUND
BOARD SECRETARIAT**

Harambee Plaza
10th Floor
Junction of Hailile Sellasie Avenue & Uhuru Highway
E mail: cdf@wananchi.com
NAIROBI

Visit Our Website
<http://www.cdf.go.ke>

P.O Box 46682-00100
Tel: 020-2230015/9, 2230027, 2230032
Celltion :0712464110 & 0734266114
Fax:020-2230029
NAIROBI

CDF BOARD/MWEA/VOL.II/55

MAY 4, 2010

The Fund Account Manager
Mwea Constituency Development Fund
P.O. Box 99
WANGURU

CDFM/010/07-08

RE: REALLOCATION OF FUNDS

Reference is made to your letter dated 19th March, 2010 on the above.

Further to the Constituency Development Fund Committee's recommendations in a meeting held on 12th February, 2010 vide Min No. 179/12/2/2010, the CDF Board in a meeting held 28th April, 2010 approved the CDFC's request to reallocate funds as follows:-

Proposed/Approved Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated from)	Financial Year	Reallocation Projects (Where funds are to be reallocated to)
1. Marieta bridge Kshs. 600,000	2008/2009	1. Muthigiti Nderwa road for Kshs. 485,880 for completion of quarry waste spreading
2. Old Mururi road Kshs. 400,000	"	2. Ngurubani town drainage Kshs. 129,492 for drainage improvement
3. Mwea Boys Sec. Sch. Kshs. 500,000	"	3. Haraka karira road Kshs. 633,880 for completion of quarry waste spreading
4. Ndikiki water project Kshs. 448,731	2007/2008	4. Kii vented drift Kshs. 650,000 for completion of the drift and approaches
5. CDF Motor Vehicle Kshs. 500,000	"	5. Kasarani Gakungu Bridge Kshs. 659,388 for completion of the drift and approaches.
6. Emergency Fund Kshs 500,000	"	6. Muthigiti Mahigaini road Kshs. 383,279 for quarry waste spreading.
7. Chiefs Office Tebere 250,000	2006/2007	7. Kamuchage bridge Kshs. 481,667 Bridge construction.

Vision: To be the most effective and efficient institution in the delivery and utilization of public resources.