

# Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Kieni Constituency, Nyeri District



© National Taxpayers Association  
January, 2010

This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID), through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF) and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and they do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kieni Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Recommendations for Hon. Nemesys Warugongo</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Recommendations for the CDF Board</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2006-07</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>Table 3: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>NTA Research Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2006-07</b>	
	• Category A projects	8 - 13
	• Category B projects	14 - 15
	• Category C projects	16 - 23
	• Category E projects	24
	<b>Annex 2: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08</b>	
	• Category A projects	26 - 27
	• Category B projects	28
	• Category C projects	29 - 32
	• Category E projects	33
	<b>Annex 3: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form</b>	<b>34</b>
	<b>Annex 4: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Annex 5: Technical Project Assessment Form</b>	<b>37</b>
	<b>Annex 6, 7 &amp; 8: Correspondence between NTA and area Member of Parliament</b>	<b>38 - 41</b>

## 1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located in the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 49 constituencies from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2010.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Kieni Constituency, Nyeri district. The report covers two government Financial Years -- 2006/07 and 2007/08. The NTA has not researched the 2008/09 Financial Year as funds have not been allocated and/or spent to date.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and May 2009.
- It is important to note that the two Financial Years (06/07 & 07/08) were simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

## 2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Kieni Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Kshs. 145,767,504** has been allocated to the constituency since 2003/04.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Kieni Constituency are listed in Table 2 and Table 3 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1 and Annex 2.
- Correspondence and minutes of NTA meetings with the area Member of Parliament on the Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 6, Annex 7 and Annex 8.

**Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kieni Constituency 2003/04 - 2007/08**

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Kieni	6,000,000	23,739,238	30,701,899	42,531,834	42,794,533	<b>145,767,504</b>

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2006/2007 are as follows:  
**Kshs. 4,312,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects**  
10% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2006-07 were on ineffective projects  
**Kshs. 450,000 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for**  
1% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2006-07 are unaccounted for

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:  
**Kshs. 950,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects**  
12% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects  
**Kshs. 450,000 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for**  
6% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

### **3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Nemesys Warugongo**

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects by the end of FY 08 - 09.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects in FY 08-09.
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

### **3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board**

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

**Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2006-07 in Kienu Constituency**

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	<b>Well built, completed projects</b> - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers'.	14	18,303,377	18,303,377	-
B	<b>Badly built, complete and incomplete projects</b> - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	5	4,312,000	4,312,000	-
C	<b>Well built, incomplete projects</b> - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	16	19,246,269	19,246,269	-
D	<b>Abandoned Projects</b> - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers' money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	<b>Ghost projects</b> - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	2	450,000	-	450,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>37</b>	<b>42,311,646</b>	<b>41,861,646</b>	<b>450,000</b>

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
<b>Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)</b>	4,312,000	<b>10%</b>
<b>Total Money Wasted (D Projects)</b>	-	-
<b>Budget Unaccounted For</b>	450,000	<b>1%</b>

**Table 3: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Kiari Constituency**

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	<b>Well built, completed projects</b> - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers'.	6	2,901,000	2,901,000	-
B	<b>Badly built, complete and incomplete projects</b> - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	4	950,000	950,000	-
C	<b>Well built, incomplete projects</b> - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	7	3,768,895	3,768,895	-
D	<b>Abandoned Projects</b> - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers' money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	<b>Ghost projects</b> - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	2	450,000	-	450,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>8,069,895</b>	<b>7,619,895</b>	<b>450,000</b>

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
<b>Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)</b>	950,000	12%
<b>Total Money Wasted (D Projects)</b>	-	-
<b>Budget Unaccounted For</b>	450,000	6%

## 4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

### 1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Research Officers held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2007/08.

### 2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Research Officers visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 3)<sup>1</sup>.

### 3. Desk-based Project Classification

Upon returning from the field NTA Research Officers analysed the data collected and then classified all projects into one of the following five categories:

#### Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 3)<sup>2</sup>, and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

#### Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

#### Category C Projects – Well implemented, incomplete projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is incomplete.

#### Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

- This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

#### Category E Projects – Ghost projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

---

<sup>1</sup>The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

<sup>2</sup>The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

## Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

- This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

### 4. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

### 5. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.

### 6. Comments and Review



Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments (see letter in Annex 6). The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent (see Annex 7) at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.



In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments (See the list of MPs who requested for the meeting or sent their comments in Annex 8). The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.



# Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,  
Project Profiles and digital photographs  
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in  
the Financial Year (FY) 2006 - 07





<b>Project Number</b>	4	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Karundas Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Laboratory	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	19/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,775,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,775,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	87%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The project is indeed well implemented and represents value for money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	12	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni west	
<b>Project Name</b>	Gatei primary school	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Roofing, windows and plastering	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	20/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	350,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	350,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	N/A	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	So far so good. The project is well implemented and reflects value for taxpayers' money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	14	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kamburaini Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Dispensary	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Narumoro	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,415,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,415,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	87%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The dispensary has been well built and serves the purpose for which it was set up. There is overall satisfaction with the facility and there is value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	29	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kabendera Cattle Dip	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Cattle Dip	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kiamathaga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	805,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	805,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented.	



**Photo  
Unavailable**



<b>Project Number</b>	42	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Meere Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Classes Renovation	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kabaru	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The classes have been renovated and are in a better condition at the moment. Generally, the work undertaken in the school reflects value for taxpayers' money.	

<b>Project Number</b>	43	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Munyu Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Toilets	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kabaru	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	100,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	100,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	Project completed on time and within budget. The finishing is of good quality.	



<b>Project Number</b>	51	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni west	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mweiga Health Center	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of Health Center	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	26/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	7,208,639.50	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	7,208,639.50	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The project was completed on time and within budget. The workmanship and quality finishing represents value for taxpayers money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	54	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Amboni Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Walls and Roof Replacement	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	70,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	70,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The amount allocated was a part contribution toward the undertaking of the above project activity. The work is well done and represents value for money	



<b>Project Number</b>	61	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwihoko Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Toilet Construction	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwiyogo	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	26/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	160,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	160,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The project has been well implemented and the school administration is happy as it has greatly enhanced hygiene within the institution. Yes, there is value for money in this project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	65	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Lamuria Health Centre	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of Heath Centre	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gatarakwa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,770,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,770,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The health centre has been well constructed and serves the intended purpose. The finishing is good and there is attention to detail. Definitely, it reflects value for taxpayers' money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	68	<b>Photo Unavailable</b>
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Endarasha Day Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building of Science Laboratory	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Endarasha	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	805,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	805,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	63%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	Fairly well implemented.	



<b>Project Number</b>	71	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Embaringo Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Laboratory Construction	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gatarakwa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,693,737.5	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,693,737.5	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The school has been well built so far. The design is good and the materials used are of good quality. The finishing and fittings are to detail and generally, there is value for money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	26		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kiamathaga Polytechnic		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Classes		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kiamathaga		
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo		
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete		
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1.6 million		
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1.6 million		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil		
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	47%		
<b>Project Classification</b>	B		
<b>Comments</b>	The project is incomplete as finishing work has not been done on the floor and the windows have not been fitted. Bearing in mind the amount of money already sunk in the facility, it does not represent value for money.		



<b>Project Number</b>	31		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni		
<b>Project Name</b>	Murungaru Cattle Dip		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Cattle Dip		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kiamathaga		
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo		
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete		
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	450,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	450,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil		
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	33%		
<b>Project Classification</b>	B		
<b>Comments</b>	The walls and floor of the facility have many cracks that reflect poor workmanship on the project. In a nutshell, the project is poorly implemented.		



<b>Project Number</b>	19	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Aguthi Cattle Dip	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of the Dip	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Narumoro	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	312,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	312,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	48%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	B	
<b>Comments</b>	The work done on the fencing or dip ramp and the floor is a masterpiece in wastage of taxpayers' money. The project is poorly implemented.	



<b>Project Number</b>	7	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Chaka primary school	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of classrooms	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	20/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	700,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	700,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	63%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The classrooms have been constructed to standard size and the materials used are of good quality. Overall, there is good workmanship in the project and it represents value for money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	10	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Nyange Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of Nyange Dispensary	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	20/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The construction of the dispensary is incomplete but the work done so far is satisfactory and represents value for money. There is need to avail more resources toward the completion of the facility.	



<b>Project Number</b>	11	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Maragima AP Camp	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of AP camp	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	20/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	500,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	500,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	63%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The construction of the AP camp is still on course but the work already done is satisfactory and represents value for the money already spent.	



<b>Project Number</b>	24	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Rongai Chiefs Camp	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of the Camp	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Narumoro	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	650,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	650,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	63%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The work already done on the project although incomplete represents value for taxpayers' money. More funds should be availed for its completion.	



<b>Project Number</b>	28	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kariokor Police Post	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Police Post	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kiamathaga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,570,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,570,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	N/A	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Although incomplete, the project has been well implemented using quality materials and the workmanship is so far impressive.	



<b>Project Number</b>	30	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kabati Cattle Dip	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kiamathaga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	450,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	450,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	N/A	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	57%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented physically but more funds should be availed to complete the project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	40	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Meere Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Dispensary	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kabaru	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,100,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,100,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented and represents value for taxpayers' money	



<b>Project Number</b>	44	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Gakawa Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Laboratory	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete and in use.	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The project is incomplete but in use, has been well implemented so far and it would be great if it was allocated adequate resources in order to effectively serve the school. It passes for a well implemented project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	45	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Muriru Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building of a Dispensary	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	2,040,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	2,040,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	There is overall community satisfaction with the project. It is well constructed so far and there is expressed wish by community members that the facility be allocated more funds to complete it.	



<b>Project Number</b>	48	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Gakawa Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Maternity Ward	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	60%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Although the project is incomplete, the amount allocated so far has been well spent as the materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is meticulous.	



<b>Project Number</b>	49	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Antistock-Theft Unit	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building 6 Rooms	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,247,895	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,247,895	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	So far the project has been well implemented and represents value for money. Quality materials used and the fittings are of great quality.	

<b>Project Number</b>	76	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Gakanga Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building the Dispensary	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Endarasha	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	800,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	800,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The work done so far on the project is good and represents value for money although the windows and finishing work has not been undertaken.	

<b>Project Number</b>	77	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Enderasha Health Centre	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building General Wards	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Enderasha	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	3,628,737.50	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	3,628,737.50	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented and good value for money spent. There is great community satisfaction with the project.	

<b>Project Number</b>	82	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kirima Cattle Dip	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building of Cattle Dip	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mugunda	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	710,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	710,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	60%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The project although incomplete has been well implemented and has been closely supervised by the Ministry of Livestock that ordered demolition of the initial slab which had many cracks indicating poor workmanship. The work was redone and so far, there is value for taxpayers' money.	

<b>Project Number</b>	83	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mugunda Zonal Office	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Educational Hall	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mugunda	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,500,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,500,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	57%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Going by the amount of money allocated to the project, it reflects value for the taxpayers' money and the workmanship is impressive.	

<b>Project Number</b>	86	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	St Jude Sec. School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building the Classrooms	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mugunda	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	800,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	800,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The physical structure is strong and done using quality materials. The workmanship is also good and the project in its entirety reflects value for taxpayers' money.	



<b>Project Number</b>	20	<b>Ghost Project</b>
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kamburaini Bridge	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building of the Bridge	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Narumoro	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Does not exist	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	150,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	N/A	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	0%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	E	
<b>Comments</b>	Project does not exist.	


<b>Project Number</b>	87	<b>Ghost Project</b>
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Karemeno Bridge	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building bridge	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mugunda	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Doesn't exist	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	300,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	N/A	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	300,000	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	N/A	
<b>Project Classification</b>	E	
<b>Comments</b>	The project does not exist.	



## Annex 2



Project Classification Summary Tables,  
Project Profiles and digital photographs  
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in  
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08







<b>Project Number</b>	3	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Karundas Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of Toilets	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	19/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	As depicted by the quality of materials and the fine workmanship in finishing, the project is well implemented more so, when the funds spent on the same is put into consideration.	



<b>Project Number</b>	8	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Nyange Mixed Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Text Books	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	50,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	50,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	N/A	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented though the amount given was not enough to buy all the books needed.	


<b>Project Number</b>	50	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Ichuga Cattle Dip	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Repair and Plastering	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The general repairs on the facility were well undertaken restoring the facility to its usefulness. There is certainly value for money in the project.	


<b>Project Number</b>	56	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mweiga Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction and Repair of desks	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Complete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	250,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	250,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	N/A	
<b>Project Classification</b>	A	
<b>Comments</b>	The desks have improved the learning environment in the school and there is great satisfaction amongst the teachers and the pupils. There is however need for more desks in the school. This is a well implemented project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	59	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mweiga Stadium	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Stadium Refurbishment	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	26/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	2,000,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	2,000,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	27%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	B	
<b>Comments</b>	Kshs 2,000,000 is a substantial amount of money whose impact should not be in doubt. It is not clear what the amount was spent on as the stadium surface is bare and there are no stands. This is a case of poorly implemented project and does not reflect value for money whatsoever.	



<b>Project Number</b>	78	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinyaiti Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 Classes	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Endarasha	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	950,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	950,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	47%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	B	
<b>Comments</b>	The work already done on the project is not commensurate with the amount of money already spent. This is a poorly implemented project.	



<b>Project Number</b>	21	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Narumoro Girls Sec	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dormitory Construction	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Narumoro	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	250,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	250,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	N/A	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	53%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The amount allocated has supported the setting up of the dormitory foundation which by all standards seems well constructed.	



<b>Project Number</b>	35	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	St. Joseph Allomano Secondary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Fence and Bursaries	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kabaru	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	24/04/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	200,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	73%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The fencing has been done using quality poles and fencing wires. Although incomplete as at the time of study, so far the work is well done.	

<b>Project Number</b>	39	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Meere Social Hall	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Social Hall Building	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kabaru	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of visit</b>	24/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	350,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	350,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Well implemented and represents value for taxpayers' money spent.	

<b>Project Number</b>	48	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Gakawa Dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building Maternity Ward	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	60%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	Although the project is incomplete, the amount allocated so far has been well spent as the materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is meticulous.	

<b>Project Number</b>	49	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Antistock-Theft Unit	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building 6 Rooms	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gakawa	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	1,247,895	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	1,247,895	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	So far the project has been well implemented and represents value for money. Quality materials used and the fittings are of great quality.	

<b>Project Number</b>	55	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	Amboni Sec School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Classrooms Construction	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Ongoing	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	26/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	121,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	121,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	50%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	The amount awarded to the project is inadequate to take the building to the above level. This was a part contribution to the school and there is need for more resources from the kitty towards the same. So far, the project is well implemented.	

<b>Project Number</b>	79	
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Charity Primary School	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Building 4 Classes	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Endarasha	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Incomplete	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	23/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	750,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	750,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	73%	
<b>Project Classification</b>	C	
<b>Comments</b>	So far so good. The project has been well implemented and there is need to avail more funding to facilitate its completion so that it can serve the community optimally.	

<b>Project Number</b>	9	<b>Ghost Project</b>
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni	
<b>Project Name</b>	Nyange Polytechnic	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of Polytechnic	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Thegu	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Doesn't exist	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	25/03/09	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	350,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	N/A	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	350,000	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	N/A	
<b>Project Classification</b>	E	
<b>Comments</b>	Nyange Polytechnic doesn't exist and the community is not aware of it.	

<b>Project Number</b>	53	<b>Ghost Project</b>
<b>Constituency</b>	Kieni West	
<b>Project Name</b>	St. Joseph Polytechnic	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Workshop Construction	
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mweiga	
<b>Former / Current MPs Name</b>	Hon. Chris Murungaru / Hon. Nemesys Warugongo	
<b>Project status</b>	Doesn't Exist	
<b>Date of Visit</b>	26/03/2009	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to date</b>	100,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to date</b>	N/A	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	100,000	
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	N/A	
<b>Project Classification</b>	E	
<b>Comments</b>	Although the CDF office records to have allocated money to the project, no work has ever taken off at the institution with regard to the aforementioned project activity.	

**Annex 3**  
**CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form**

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.	

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
----------------	----------	--	--------------------	--	---------	--	----------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
--	--

Total Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

**Field Visit Assessment Information**

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
<b>Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)</b>		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
------------------	--	----------------	--

## Annex 4

### CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:
-------------

Name of RO
------------

#### Instructions for RO

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District	2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project	4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female      2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer	4. Private Sector Employee
	2. Business Owner	5. Government employee
	3. Labourer /Housekeeper	6. Other (explain) _____
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project	
	2. Resident from community uses the project	
	3. Other (explain) _____	
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes      2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF	4. Community
	2. LATF	5. Donors/NGOs
	3. MP	6. Government
	7. Councillor	9. Do not know
	8. Private company	10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use	
	2. Project is completed and not in use	
	3. Project is incomplete and in use	
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use	
	5. Project is "missing", does not exist	
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)		
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?		1. Yes, community participated
		2. No, community did not participate
		3. Do not know
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting	
	2. I know people who attended and they told me	
	3. Other (explain) _____	
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number)	
	2. Do not know	
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?		1. Yes
		2. No
		3. Do not know

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use		
	2. Project is completed and not in use		
	3. Project is incomplete and in use		
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use		
	5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

## Annex 5

### Technical Project Assessment Form

<b>Project Score (Out of 100)</b>	%	<b>Project No.</b>	
-----------------------------------	---	--------------------	--

<b>Project Type (LATE/CDF)</b>		<b>Project No.</b>	
--------------------------------	--	--------------------	--

<b>Project Name</b>		<b>Constituency Name</b>	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

<b>District Name</b>		<b>Location / Ward Name</b>	
----------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
<b>(1) Quality of materials used</b>		
<b>(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?</b>		
<b>(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money</b>		
<b>Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)</b>		<b>What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer)</b> 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

**Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.**

<b>Name of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Time:</b>

## Annex 6



August 31<sup>st</sup> 2009

Hon. Nemesyus Warugongo  
Member of Parliament for Kieni Constituency,  
Nairobi

Dear Hon. Warugongo,

### **RE: KIENI CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD**

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, accountability and efficiency and effectiveness in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. On 16<sup>th</sup> March 2009, the NTA published 23 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 23 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 2 of the NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 26 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Kieni**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Kieni constituents in Parliament, the NTA herein encloses a draft copy of the Kieni Constituency CDF Citizens' Report Card for your comments.

The NTA, which is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public, seeks a formal partnership with you to improve the implementation of CDF in Kieni constituency. The NTA has the capacity and tools to strengthen CDF Committees in key areas, such as community consultation to identify priority projects and procurement to ensure value for money in the construction of projects, among others.

The NTA is committed to working with you to improve the performance of CDF in your constituency. Should you be interested in such a partnership then the NTA is willing to clearly explain this in the attached Kieni Constituency Citizens' Report Card prior to printing and dissemination. Please call Mr. Nashon Odhiambo on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

1

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.  
National Taxpayers Association, Co-op Trust Plaza Upper Hill Rd.P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 2734576/9 Website:www.nta.or.ke

We kindly note that lack of feedback on the draft CRC will be considered as a confirmation of the report and we will proceed with printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,



Kennedy Masime,  
**National Secretary, NTA &  
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)**

C.C. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo  
Chief Executive Officer  
CDF Co-ordinating Board  
Harambee Co-operative Plaza  
10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Nairobi

## Annex 7



19<sup>th</sup> October 2009

Hon. Nemesis Warugongo  
Member of Parliament for Kieni Constituency,  
National Assembly,  
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Warugongo,

**RE: CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD – REMINDER**

With particular reference to a letter dated ~~Kieni~~ <sup>August 31st</sup> earlier delivered to you by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA), we wish to kindly remind you that the two weeks time limit indicated in the aforementioned letter has elapsed. However, the NTA, in appreciation of the important role you play in the process as the people's representative, extends the time limit with another one week in order to hear from your good office. In this regard, the NTA humbly requests for your **feedback** before Friday, **23<sup>rd</sup> October 2009**.

The NTA remains open for a meeting with you and your CDFC members within that stated period. Please call **Mr. Nashon Odhiambo** on **0734 500 940** to arrange a meeting to further discuss the issue. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,

Kennedy Masime,  
**National Secretary, NTA &  
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)**

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.  
National Taxpayers Association, Co-op Trust Plaza Upper Hill Rd.P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 2734576/9 Website:www.nta.or.ke

## **Annex 8**

### List of MP's that met with NTA or sent comments on the draft CDF CRC

<b>No.</b>	<b>Name of MP</b>	<b>Constituency</b>	<b>Date of sending 1st Letter</b>	<b>Date of sending reminder</b>	<b>Date of meeting</b>
1	Hon. Samuel Kazungu	Kaloleni	31st August 09	19th October 09	18th November 09
2	Hon. Oburu Odinga	Bondo	31st August 09	19th October 09	2nd November 09
3	Hon. N. Warugongo	Kieni	31st August 09	19th October 09	19th November 09
4	Hon. Simon Mbugua	Kamukunji	31st August 09	-	9th September 09
5	Hon. Nicholas Gumbo	Rarieda	21st October 09	-	19th September 09
6	Hon. Ababu Namwamba	Budalangi	20th November 09	-	3rd December 09