

Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Kangema Constituency, Muranga North District



© National Taxpayers Association
January, 2010

This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID), through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF) and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and they do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Executive Summary	1
	Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kangema Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08	1
3.1	Recommendations for Hon. John Michuki	2
3.2	Recommendations for the CDF Board	2
	Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2006-07	3
	Table 3: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08	4
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	5
	Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2006-07	
	• Category A projects	8 - 14
	• Category B projects	15 - 16
	• Category C projects	17 - 19
	• Category E projects	20
	Annex 2: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08	
	• Category A projects	22 - 26
	• Category B projects	27
	• Category C projects	28 - 31
	Annex 3: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form	32
	Annex 4: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire	33
	Annex 5: Technical Project Assessment Form	35
	Annex 6, 7 & 8: Correspondence between NTA and area Member of Parliament	36 - 39

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located in the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 49 constituencies from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2010.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Kangema Constituency, Muranga North district. The report covers two government Financial Years -- 2006/07 and 2007/08. The NTA has not researched the 2008/09 Financial Year as funds have not been allocated and/or spent to date.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and May 2009.
- It is important to note that the two Financial Years (06/07 & 07/08) were simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Kangema Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Kshs. 135,860,155** has been allocated to the constituency since 2003/04.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Kangema Constituency are listed in Table 2 and Table 3 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1 and Annex 2.
- Correspondence and minutes of NTA meetings with the area Member of Parliament on the Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 6, Annex 7 and Annex 8.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kangema Constituency 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Kangema	6,000,000	21,531,327	28,664,574	39,709,494	39,954,760	135,860,155

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2006/2007 are as follows:
Kshs. 5,328,589 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects
8% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2006-07 were on ineffective projects
Kshs. 10,891,951 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for
17% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2006-07 are unaccounted for

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:
Kshs. 3,640,202 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects
7% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects
Kshs. 11,821,878 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for
21% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

3.1 Recommendations for Hon. John Michuki

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects by the end of FY 08 - 09.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects in FY 08-09.
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2006-07 in Kangema Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers'.	18	37,187,257	34,673,913	2,513,343
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	4	5,689,202	5,328,589	360,613
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	5	22,245,324	14,827,329	7,417,995
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers' money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	1	600,000	-	600,000
TOTAL		28	65,721,783	54,849,831	10,891,951

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	5,328,589	8%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	10,891,951	17%

Table 3: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Kangema Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	9	23,916,117	20,545,280	3,370,837
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	2	3,640,202	3,640,202	-
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	7	28,273,585	20,422,544	7,851,041
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers’ money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	1	600,000	-	600,000
TOTAL		19	56,429,904	44,608,026	11,821,878

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	3,640,202	7%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	11,821,878	21%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Research Officers held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2007/08.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Research Officers visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2006/07 and 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 3)¹.

3. Desk-based Project Classification

Upon returning from the field NTA Research Officers analysed the data collected and then classified all projects into one of the following five categories:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 3)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, incomplete projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is incomplete.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

- This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

- This category was for CDF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

¹The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

²The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

- This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

4. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

5. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.

6. Comments and Review



Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments (see letter in Annex 6). The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent (see Annex 7) at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.



In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments (See the list of MPs who requested for the meeting or sent their comments in Annex 8). The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.



Annex 1



Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2006 - 07







Project Number	4	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kangema primary	
Project Activity	Renovation of 9 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,247,601	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,247,601	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Work on the nine classrooms has been well undertaken and has seriously improved learning environment for the pupils. Generally, it is a well implemented project.	



Project Number	15	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kihoya health centre	
Project Activity	Maternity wing	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	8,662,205	
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,213,264	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,448,941	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The work done on the facility is splendid. There is overall community satisfaction with the project and it indeed reflects value for money.	



Project Number	14	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Rwathia police post	
Project Activity	Staff houses	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,355,846	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,695,782	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Although the amount spent surpassed the amount awarded, the project is well implemented as depicted by the quality of workmanship and even the materials used. It is a well implemented project.	



Project Number	23	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Gitugu sec. school	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Kanyenyeini	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,615,180	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,615,180	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The materials used in the project are of good quality and there is overall community satisfaction with the project. The workmanship is good and reflects good value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	29	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Muguru chiefs camp	
Project Activity	Chiefs office and AP line	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	27/02/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,664,992.95	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,665,922.95	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	83%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The facility has been well constructed and there is no doubt that there is value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	30	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Njii Ithatu polytechnic	
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classes	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,668,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,668,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The classes have been well constructed and represent value for money. There is community satisfaction with the project although it is not operational yet.	



Project Number	31	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Michuki technical institute	
Project Activity	Computer block	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	26/02/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,900,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,900,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	77%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Although the project is well implemented, the amount of work done is way beyond what could be done using the CDF allocation to the project. All in all, it is an outstanding piece of work in the constituency.	



Project Number	33	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Dr. Kiano sec. school	
Project Activity	Dinning and lab renovation	
Location/Ward	Kanyenyeini	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	909,750	
Total Funds Spent to Date	909,750	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	77%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The facilities have received a great facelift and are of use to the community. Generally, there is value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	36	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kiairathe sec. school	
Project Activity	Construction of classroom	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	770,509.65	
Total Funds Spent to Date	770,509.65	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	80%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The quality of materials used in the project is good and so is the workmanship. There is overall community satisfaction with the project that represents good value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	37	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Ihigaini dispensary	
Project Activity	Construction of dispensary	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	10/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,013,264	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,013,264	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	80%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The dispensary is well constructed and the quality of workmanship is impressive. There is widespread community satisfaction with the project.	



Project Number	38	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Githiga primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation of classes	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,301,642	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,301,642	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	From the way the classrooms appear, the renovations were extensive and this was a commendable job done. Good value for money for community.	



Project Number	39	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Ngoeni primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of 1 classroom	
Location/Ward	Kanyenyaini	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,757,200	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,757,200	
Total Unaccounted Funds	107,800	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Although the project is well undertaken, there is widespread feeling that the amount of money spent was capable of supporting two classrooms instead of one. Overall though, the project is well implemented.	



Project Number	40		
Constituency	Kangema		
Project Name	Kahiti primary school		
Project Activity	Renovation of floors, painting of roofs and walls		
Location/Ward	Iyego		
MPs Name	John Michuki		
Project Status	Complete		
Date of Visit	09/03/09		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	910,896		
Total Funds Spent to Date	689,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	221,896		
Technical Performance Score	63%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The renovation work at the facility is well undertaken using quality materials and the workmanship is good. The project represents value for taxpayers' money.		



Project Number	41		
Constituency	Kangema		
Project Name	Gatunduini sec. school		
Project Activity	Construction of laboratory		
Location/Ward	Iyego		
MPs Name	John Michuki		
Project Status	Complete		
Date of Visit	09/03/09		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	982,378.80		
Total Funds Spent to Date	982,378.80		
Total Unaccounted Funds	0		
Technical Performance Score	83%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The project is well implemented although the amount spent exceeded the amount allocated. This indicates that there was another source of funding. Generally, it is a well implemented project.		



Project Number	3	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Karuri primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation of classes	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,399,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,247,601	
Total Unaccounted Funds	151,399	
Technical Performance Score	33%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Although renovation work was done at the institution, it appears the school still needs a serious facelift. The workmanship on the project was poor and does not reflect value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	35	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Tuthu polytechnic	
Project Activity	Renovation of 9 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,135,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,135,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	37%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	The renovation work at the facility has been poorly undertaken. Poor quality of materials has been used and the workmanship is wanting. It is a poorly implemented project.	



Project Number	45	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Karurumo Secondary School	
Project Activity	Construction of laboratory	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,505,202	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,505,202	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	40%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Poorly implemented. The amount spent on the laboratory building which is yet to be completed is too high compared to the work already undertaken. No value for money spent on this project.	



Project Number	47	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Gachogi primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classes	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	650,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	440,786	
Total Unaccounted Funds	209,214	
Technical Performance Score	37%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	This is a poorly implemented project. The amount allocated was enough to construct a better structure and it is a pity that a substantial amount from the allocation is unaccounted for.	

Project Number	28	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Ichichi polytechnic	
Project Activity	Provision of materials	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,300,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,538,576	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,761,424	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Within the 2 years under review, 06/07 and 07/08 the work has been well implemented representing value for the community money. There seems to have been unaccounted funds of 1,176,424 under the project.	

Project Number	34	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kangema library	
Project Activity	Library construction	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	13,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	8,448,418	
Total Unaccounted Funds	4,551,582	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	This is a landmark project in the constituency. The materials being used are of good quality and the workmanship is satisfactory. The project represents value for money so far.	

Project Number	42	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Nyagatugu sec. school	
Project Activity	Building dinning hall	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,539,953	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,120,335	
Total Unaccounted Funds	419,618	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Construction of the dining hall is at an advanced stage although not complete. The materials used so far are of good quality and the workmanship is remarkable. There is value for money in this project.	

Project Number	48	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kiruri sec. school	
Project Activity	Computer laboratory	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,363,416	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,250,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,113,416	
Technical Performance Score	57%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Work on the project is still on-going and so far, the project is well implemented and within the budget. It represents value for money.	



Project Number	51	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Muguru sec. school	
Project Activity	Laboratory construction	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	27/02/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,041,954	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,470,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	N/A	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The laboratory is so far well implemented although it is incomplete. The workmanship is impressive and so is the quality of materials used. It is a well implemented project.	



Project Number	50	Picture Unavailable
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kibutha primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation of 1 classroom.	
Location/Ward	Kanyenya-ini	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Does not exist	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	600,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	600,000	
Technical Performance Score	0%	
Project Classification	E	
Comments	Although the CDF status report indicates that Kshs 462,800 has been spent on the project, the monitoring exercise revealed that the project had not been implemented. In a nutshell, the project does not exist.	



Annex 2



Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08







Project Number	5	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Ngooro primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classes	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	Hon. John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	25/02/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,950,839	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,950,839	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	83%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The work done on the two classes is satisfactory and represents value for money. The materials are of good quality and the workmanship is impressive.	


Project Number	9	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Thirikwa primary school	
Project Activity	Field excavation	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	885,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	885,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	60%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The project has been undertaken to the expectation of the school administration and within the stipulated budget. It is a well implemented project.	



Project Number	15	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kihoya health centre	
Project Activity	Maternity wing	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	Hon. John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Vist	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	8,662,205	
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,513,264	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,148,941	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The work done on the facility is splendid. There is overall community satisfaction with the project and it indeed reflects value for money.	


Project Number	27	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Gitugu dispensary	
Project Activity	Completion of dispensary	
Location/Ward	Kanyenyeini	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,199,224.10	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,199,224.10	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The health facility is completed and currently serves the community. The quality of workmanship in the project is good and so is the finishing and fittings. It represents value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	30	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Njii Ithatu polytechnic	
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classes	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,668,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,668,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The classes have been well constructed and represent value for money. There is community satisfaction with the project although it is not operational yet.	



Project Number	40	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kahiti primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation of floors, painting of roofs and walls	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	910,896	
Total Funds Spent to Date	689,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	221,896	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The renovation work at the facility is well undertaken using quality materials and the workmanship is good. The project represents value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	43	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Rwathia sec. school	
Project Activity	Construction of laboratory	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,039,952	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,195,050	
Total Unaccounted Funds	N/A	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The work undertaken is good as exhibited in the quality of workmanship. It reflects value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	46	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kangema FM studio	
Project Activity	FM station block	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,700,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	4,700,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	93%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The station block is well designed and constructed using quality materials. The finishing and fittings are equally good and aesthetical. Good value for money.	



Project Number	60	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Wenjerere water project	
Project Activity	Supply of clean water	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	900,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	900,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	80%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Well implemented; completed on time and within budget. The project reflects value for money.	



Project Number	35	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Tuthu polytechnic	
Project Activity	Renovation of 9 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,135,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,135,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	37%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	The renovation work at the facility has been poorly undertaken. Poor quality of materials has been used and the workmanship is wanting. It is a poorly implemented project.	



Project Number	45	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Karurumo secondary school	
Project Activity	Construction of laboratory	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,505,202	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,505,202	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	40%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Poorly implemented. The amount spent on the laboratory building which is yet to be completed is too high compared to the work already undertaken. No value for money spent on this project.	



Project Number	13	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kiawambogo sec. school	
Project Activity	Dining Hall completion	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	03/03/2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,784,544.10	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,784,544.10	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	80%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The project is well implemented and reflects value for money. The quality of workmanship is evident and the materials used are of standard quality.	



Project Number	19	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Iyego self help dispensary	
Project Activity	Construction of staff house	
Location/Ward	Iyego	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,535,671	
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,535,671	
Total Unaccounted Funds	0	
Technical Performance Score	63%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The construction has been done well considering this is a storey building requiring a sound foundation base. The project is incomplete but so far well implemented.	

Project Number	28	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Ichichi polytechnic	
Project Activity	Provision of materials	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,300,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,538,576	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,761,424	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The construction of the facility is still on-going but the work so far done is satisfactory and represents good value for money. The materials are of good quality and the workmanship impressive.	

Project Number	34	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kangema library	
Project Activity	Library construction	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	03/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	13,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	8,448,418	
Total Unaccounted Funds	4,551,582	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	This is a landmark project in the constituency. The materials being used are of good quality and the workmanship is satisfactory. The project represents value for money so far.	

Project Number	42	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Nyagatugu sec. school	
Project Activity	Building dinning hall	
Location/Ward	Rwathia	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Ongoing	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,539,953	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,120,335	
Total Unaccounted Funds	419,618	
Technical Performance Score	70%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Construction of the dining hall is at an advanced stage although not complete. The materials used so far are of good quality and the workmanship is remarkable. There is value for money in this project.	

Project Number	48	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kiruri sec. school	
Project Activity	Computer laboratory	
Location/Ward	Kiruri	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Incomplete	
Date of Visit	07/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,363,416	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,250,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,113,416	
Technical Performance Score	57%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Work on the project is still on-going and so far, the project is well implemented and within the budget. It represents value for money.	

Project Number	54	
Constituency	Kangema	
Project Name	Kanorero sec. school	
Project Activity	Renovation	
Location/Ward	Muguru	
MPs Name	John Michuki	
Project Status	Complete	
Date of Visit	09/03/09	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	750,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	745,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	5,000	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The school has received a great facelift and has definitely improved the learning environment. The renovation work at the facility has introduced an element of elegance. There is value for money in the project.	

Annex 3
CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation		Report No.

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
MPs Name (2007)		MPs Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
----------------	----------	--	--------------------	--	---------	--	----------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
--	--

Total Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
------------------	--	----------------	--

Annex 4

CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of RO

Instructions for RO

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District	2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project	4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female 2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____	
9. Do you know who funded the project?	1. Yes 2. No	
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government
	7. Councillor 8. Private company	9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist	
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)		
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know	
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____	
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know	
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know	

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF/LATF projects?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use		
	2. Project is completed and not in use		
	3. Project is incomplete and in use		
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use		
	5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 5

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)	%	Project No.	
-----------------------------------	---	--------------------	--

Project Type (LATE/CDF)		Project No.	
--------------------------------	--	--------------------	--

Project Name		Constituency Name	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

District Name		Location / Ward Name	
----------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time:

Annex 6



National Taxpayers Association
pesa zetu, haki yetu

August 31st 2009

Hon. John Michuki,
Member of Parliament for Kangema Constituency,
Nairobi

Dear Hon. Michuki,

RE: KANGEMA CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, accountability and efficiency and effectiveness in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. On 16th March 2009, the NTA published 23 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 23 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 2 of the NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 26 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Kangema**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Kangema constituents in Parliament, the NTA herein encloses a draft copy of the Kangema Constituency CDF Citizens' Report Card for your comments.

The NTA, which is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public, seeks a formal partnership with you to improve the implementation of CDF in Kangema constituency. The NTA has the capacity and tools to strengthen CDF Committees in key areas, such as community consultation to identify priority projects and procurement to ensure value for money in the construction of projects, among others.

The NTA is committed to working with you to improve the performance of CDF in your constituency. Should you be interested in such a partnership then the NTA is willing to clearly explain this in the attached Kangema Constituency Citizens' Report Card prior to printing and dissemination. Please call Mr. Nashon Odhiambo on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

1

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, Co-op Trust Plaza Upper Hill Rd.P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 2734576/9 Website:www.nta.or.ke

We kindly note that lack of feedback on the draft CRC will be considered as a confirmation of the report and we will proceed with printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,



Kennedy Masime,
**National Secretary, NTA &
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)**

C.C. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer
CDF Co-ordinating Board
Harambee Co-operative Plaza
10th Floor
Nairobi

Annex 7



19th October 2009

Hon. John Michuki,
Member of Parliament for Kangema Constituency,
National Assembly,
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Michuki,

RE: CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD – REMINDER

With particular reference to a letter dated ^{August 31st} ~~Kangema~~ earlier delivered to you by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA), we wish to kindly remind you that the two weeks time limit indicated in the aforementioned letter has elapsed. However, the NTA, in appreciation of the important role you play in the process as the people's representative, extends the time limit with another one week in order to hear from your good office. In this regard, the NTA humbly requests for your **feedback** before Friday, **23rd October 2009**.

The NTA remains open for a meeting with you and your CDFC members within that stated period. Please call **Mr. Nashon Odhiambo** on **0734 500 940** to arrange a meeting to further discuss the issue. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,

Kennedy Masime,
**National Secretary, NTA &
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)**

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, Co-op Trust Plaza Upper Hill Rd.P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 2734576/9 Website:www.nta.or.ke

Annex 8

List of MP's that met with NTA or sent comments on the draft CDF CRC

No.	Name of MP	Constituency	Date of sending 1st Letter	Date of sending reminder	Date of meeting
1	Hon. Samuel Kazungu	Kaloleni	31st August 09	19th October 09	18th November 09
2	Hon. Oburu Odinga	Bondo	31st August 09	19th October 09	2nd November 09
3	Hon. N. Warugongo	Kieni	31st August 09	19th October 09	19th November 09
4	Hon. Simon Mbugua	Kamukunji	31st August 09	-	9th September 09
5	Hon. Nicholas Gumbo	Rarieda	21st October 09	-	19th September 09
6	Hon. Ababu Namwamba	Budalangi	20th November 09	-	3rd December 09