Citizen's Local Authority Transfer Fund Report Card for Westlands, Dagoretti & Langata Constituencies, Nairobi West District

© National Taxpayers Association
September, 2008

This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID), Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and German Technical Cooperation (GTZ).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and they do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Executive Summary	1
3.0	Recommendations for His Worship the Mayor and the ward councillors	2
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Westlands Constituency	3
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Dagoretti Constituency	4
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Langata Constituency	5
4.0	Challenges in undertaking this work	6
5.0	NTA Research Methodology	6
	Annex 1: Project profiles and digital photographs for all LATF projects visited and assessed	
	• LASDAP Project W1 to W15	9 - 16
	• LASDAP Project D33 to D46	17 - 23
	• LASDAP Project L16 to L32	24 - 32
	Annex 2: CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form	33
	Annex 3: CDF/LATF Project Users Questionnaire	34
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	36

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen Report Card (CRC) has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected officials, and civil society organisations on the management of devolved funds, and the provision of district services.
- The publication has been developed by the NTA Secretariat and Regional NTA staff.
- NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is committed to working with the Government of Kenya to improve service delivery at the district level and the management of devolved funds.
- The NTA assessed all CDF/LATF projects funded in the financial year (FY) 2006-07 in 23 constituencies and 8 municipalities in 8 districts[1] across all provinces.
- This report presents the findings from research in Westlands, Dagoretti and Langata Constituencies, Nairobi West district.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of the main findings from the NTA research in Westlands, Dagoretti and Langata Constituencies are listed below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all LATF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- The key findings are as follows:

Westlands Constituency

Kshs 7,000,000 of taxpayers' money has been badly used due to complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

14% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 have been spent on complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

Kshs. 27,199,525 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

55% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 are missing and unaccounted for

Dagoretti Constituency

Kshs 20,747,009 of taxpayers' money is missing and unaccounted for

57% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 are missing and unaccounted for

Kshs 2,469,582 of taxpayers' money has been badly used due to complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

7% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 have been spent on complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

Langata Constituency

Kshs 29,772,888 of taxpayers' money is missing and unaccounted for

69% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 are missing and unaccounted for

Kshs 1,998,240 of tax payers' money has been badly used due to complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

5% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2006-07 have been spent on complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

[1] These are Nairobi West, Garissa, Mombasa, Nyeri, Uasin Gishu, Kisumu, Busia and Machakos districts.

3.0 Recommendations for His Worship the Mayor and the ward councillors

1. Complete all incomplete LATF projects as soon as possible.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 100% badly built LATF projects in FY 08-09.
4. Make available all records of LATF projects, plus Bills of Quantities.

Table 1: Summary Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Westlands Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	**Well built, complete projects -** good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayer's	6	20,000,000	15,300,475	4,699,525
B	**Badly built, complete and incomplete projects -** poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	2	7,000,000	7,000,000	-
C	**Well built, incomplete projects -** project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	-	-	-	-
D	**Abandoned Projects** - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayer's money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	**Ghost projects** - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	7	22,500,000	-	22,500,000
F	**Missing funds** - funds awarded are higher than what the project location had actually spent	-	-	-	-
Total		**15**	**49,500,000**	**22,300,475**	**27,199,525**

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	**7,000,000**	**14%**
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	**-**	**0**
Budget Unaccounted for	**27,199,525**	**55%**

Table 2: Summary Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Dagoretti Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	**Well built, complete projects -** good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayer's	**4**	13,300,000	13,283,409	16,591
B	**Badly built, complete and incomplete projects -** poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	**2**	4,500,000	2,469,582	2,030,418
C	**Well built, incomplete projects -** project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	**1**	2,000,000	-	2,000,000
D	**Abandoned Projects** - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayer's money is wasted	**-**	-	-	-
E	**Ghost projects** - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	**7**	16,700,000	-	16,700,000
F	**Missing funds** - funds awarded are higher than what the project location had actually spent	**-**	-	-	-
Total		**14**	**36,500,000**	**15,752,991**	**20,747,009**

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	**2,469,582**	**7%**
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	**-**	**0**
Budget Unaccounted for	**20,747,009**	**57%**

Table 3: Summary Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Langata Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	**Well built, complete projects -** good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayer's	**3**	9,500,000	8,211,872	1,288,128
B	**Badly built, complete and incomplete projects -** poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	**2**	4,000,000	1,998,240	2,001,760
C	**Well built, incomplete projects -** project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	**2**	6,000,000	3,017,000	2,983,000
D	**Abandoned Projects** - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayer's money is wasted	**0**	-	-	-
E	**Ghost projects** - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	**10**	23,500,000	-	23,500,000
F	**Missing funds** - funds awarded are higher than what the project location had actually spent	**-**	-	-	-
Total		**17**	**43,000,000**	**13,227,112**	**29,772,888**

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	**1,998,240**	**5%**
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	**-**	**0**
Budget Unaccounted for	**29,772,888**	**69%**

4.0 Challenges in undertaking this work

4.1 Inadequate/ lack of access to information from government offices

- In some instances, custodians of information were blatantly uncooperative, especially in cases where project implementation was suspicious. While in other cases, relevant information was hidden by the fund managers and the users for fear of victimisation and other unexplained reasons.

4.2 Threats from those managing devolved funds

- These threats raise concerns on security of the field officers whose lives are put at risk.

4.3 Joint funding and multiple financing of projects

- In some instances, projects recieved funding from non state actors, in addition to funds awarded by CDF/LATF. This made categorization of such projects difficult.

5.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

5.1 Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Research Officers held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF/LATF projects funded in FY 2006-07.

5.2 Project Site Visit and Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Research Officers visited all CDF/LATF projects funded in FY 2006-07. At each project site they:

(i) took digital photographs of the project;

(ii) interviewed project users living around the project, using a structured CDF/LATF Project Users Questionnaire (see Annex 3); and,

(iii) undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured questionnaire called CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)[2].

5.3 Desk-based Project Classification

Upon returning from the field, NTA Research Officers analysed the data collected and then classified all projects into one of the six categories below:

Category A Projects – Well built, complete projects

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects which scored <u>above</u> 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 2)[3], and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly built, complete and incomplete projects

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects which scored <u>less than</u> 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually received.

[2] The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

[3] The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

Category C Projects – Well built, incomplete projects

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects which scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were well built but incomplete, i.e. money was used to build something useful and of good quality, but the infrastructure was incomplete.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects which are incomplete and not funded in the last financial year, thus taxpayer's money wasted.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist, i.e. it was a ghost project.

Category F Projects – Missing funds

- This category is for CDF/LATF projects where the funds awarded was higher than what the project received and spent, e.g. a school was officially awarded Kshs. 600,000 for classrooms and it only received and spent Kshs. 200,000.

5.4 Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage the NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; (iii) scoring projects out of 30 marks[4] and, (iv) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above (See Annex 4 for the Technical Assessment form).

5.5 Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.

[4] Projects were scored out of 30 marks covering: (i) quality of materials used: (ii) project completion status - on time/within budget?; (iii) project cost - does the project represent value for money?

Annex 1

Project profiles and digital photographs for all LATF projects visited and assessed

Project Number	LASDAP W 1	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	School rehabilitation-Westlands primary school	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of school	
Location/Ward	Parklands	
Councillors Name	Bureza Phones	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,859,442	
Total Unaccounted Funds	640,558	
Technical Performance Score	26/30 (87%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The project is well implemented save for the thin quarry dust applied at the parking bay as demonstrated in the above picture.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 2	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of parking area Bohra Pri. School & access road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Parklands	
Councillors Name	Bureza Phones	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use.	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,496908	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3092	
Technical Performance Score		
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments		

Project Number	LASDAP W 3	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Muguga Green Primary	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of school	
Location/Ward	Parklands	
Councillors Name	Bureza Phones	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and not in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Not indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	06/30 (20%)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	The project has taken long time to take off. It is at the excavation stage and the parking is still under construction.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 4	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kileleshwa Primary school phase II	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of school	
Location/Ward	Kileleshwa	
Councillors Name	Okumu Elias Otieno	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	5,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,495,692	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,504,308	
Technical Performance Score	25/30 (83%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The work was done in a fairly reasonable period and under the budget.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 5	**Photo Unavailable**
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Milimani primary school	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of school	
Location/Ward	Kilimani	
Councillors Name	Mirehane Linet	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,452,600	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,547,400	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments		

Project Number	LASDAP W 6	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Shanzu road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Kitisuru/Loresho	
Councillors Name	Mwando Amos Aromba	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,499,570	
Total Unaccounted Funds	430	
Technical Performance Score	24/30 (80%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments		

Project Number	LASDAP W 7	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Roads rehabilitation-Farasi lane & close road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Mountain View	
Councillors Name	Mwando Amos Aromba	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Not indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	4,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	10/30 (33%)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	No records.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 8	
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Roads/street rehabilitation Thiongo road phase ii	
Project Activity	Roads/street rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Kangemi	
Councillors Name	Kezengwa Duncan Angonde	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,496,263	
Total Unaccounted Funds	37,373	
Technical Performance Score	28/30 (93%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The quality of work on the road is appreciable.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 9	? Missing Project
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Kwaheli Road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Highridge	
Councillors Name	Odwory Nobert O.	
Project Status	Design stage	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	No work has taken place on the road as according to the records, it is at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 10	? Missing Project
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of schools	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of schools	
Location/Ward	Highridge	
Councillors Name	Odwory Nobert O.	
Project Status	Unknown	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	It is difficult to track how this money was spent although the records indicate the project is at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 11	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Public toilet on Chiromo Road	
Project Activity	Construction of toilet	
Location/Ward	Parklands	
Councillors Name	Burenza Phones	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The land on which the project was to be implemented is yet to be identified according to the records.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 12	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Public Lighting infrastructure	
Project Activity	Installation of 2 highmasts	
Location/Ward	Kileleshwa	
Councillors Name	Okumu Elias Otieno	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	4,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	According to the records the tender has been awarded yet implementation is yet to begin almost a year down the line.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 13	? Missing Project
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Lady Northey Health – Construction of Perimeter wall	
Project Activity	Construction of Perimeter wall	
Location/Ward	Kilimani	
Councillors Name	Mirehane Linet	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	Land ownership dispute is purported to have delayed implementation of the project.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 14	? Missing Project
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kangemi and Kihumbuini Schools	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of schools	
Location/Ward	Kangemi	
Councillors Name	Kazengwa Duncan Angonde	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The records indicate that the project is at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP W 15	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Westlands	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kangemi Social Hall	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of the hall	
Location/Ward	Kangemi	
Councillors Name	Kazengwa Duncan Angonde	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	5,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	5,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The project is indicated to be at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 33	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Construction of foot bridge at Wakorino village	
Project Activity	Transport infrastructure construction	
Location/Ward	Riruta	
Councillors Name	Kariuki James Kiriba	
Project Status	Project is "missing", does not exist	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,700,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,700,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	Records indicate that the project is ready for tender.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 34	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Kahuho-Muhia Link, Conboni road, Ngado Wanyee Link and Ngado-Ngong Road Link	
Project Activity	Roads Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Riruta	
Councillors Name	Kariuki James Kiriba	
Project Status	Projects completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,800,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,797,783	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2217	
Technical Performance Score	18/30 (60%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The above is a bloc amount that was allocated toward rehabilitation of the five roads. It is not clear and has been very challenging to find how much was allocated to every road.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 35	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Dwaru Road Phase II	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of the road	
Location/Ward	Kawangware	
Councillors Name	Nyabera Ezekiel Lovoga	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A – Pictures are for Phase I	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	Phase two of the project is to commence after the completion of Phase one which was on-going by the time of the visit.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 36	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Gatina primary	
Project Activity	Construction of School's administration block	
Location/Ward	Kawangware	
Councillors Name	Nyabera Ezekiel Lovoga	
Project Status	Project is "missing", does not exist	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The case of Gatina is disturbing as despite receiving the above allocation not a single piece of material has been purchased. Interestingly, there is a letter from the area councillor pledging another two million to the ghost project.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 37	**?** **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Mbiriri road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Waithaka	
Councillors Name	Marie Salome Wangu	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The project is indicated to be at the tendering stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 38	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Muraba road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Mutuini	
Councillors Name	Muiruri John Mwaura	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	3,496,410	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,590	
Technical Performance Score	22/30 (73%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	Project has been well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 39	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Gachui/ Githima/Mukereti Road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Uthiru/Rithimitu	
Councillors Name	Waweru James Ndungu	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,469,582	
Total Unaccounted Funds	30,418	
Technical Performance Score	15/30 (50%)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	Project has not been well implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 40	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Bridge construction gachui/ mutuini	
Project Activity	Construction of transport infrastructure	
Location/Ward	Uthiru/Rithimitu	
Councillors Name	Waweru James Ndungu	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The records indicate that the tender has been awarded but implementation is yet to begin.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 41	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Road Street rehabilitation: Laini Saba – Digo, Laini Saba- Ngumo & Kenyatta Estate	
Project Activity	Road street rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Woodley/Kenyatta/golf course	
Councillors Name	Njihia Abraham Mwangi / Kairu Njoroge David	
Project Status	Projects completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	1,992,916	
Total Unaccounted Funds	7,084	
Technical Performance Score	18/30 (60%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	Project has been well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 42	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Road street rehabilitation-Kinoo road-Woodley	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Woodley/Kenyatta/golf course	
Councillors Name	Njihia Abraham Mwangi / Kairu Njoroge David	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The project is indicated to be at the design stage and the tender documents are under preparation.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 43	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	School rehabilitation-Upperhill nursery school	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of nursery school	
Location/Ward	Woodley/Kenyatta/Golf course	
Councillors Name	Njihia Abraham Mwangi / Kairu Njoroge David	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Not Indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	14/30 (47%)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	Project has not been well implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 44	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	School rehabilitation-Mbagathi primary	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of school	
Location/Ward	Woodley/Kenyatta/Golf course	
Councillors Name	Njihia Abraham Mwangi / Kairu Njoroge David	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Not indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	18/30 (60%)	
Project Classification	Category C	
Comments	The project was allocated Kshs. 2,000,000 which is much compared to the work. Even though the amount spent is not indicated, the work done is not commensurate to the allocation.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 45	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Construction of social hall	
Project Activity	Construction of social hall	
Location/Ward	Mutuini	
Councillors Name	Muiruri John Mwaura	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	4,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	4,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	According to the records, the project is at the design stage. Interestingly, land for the project has not yet been identified.	

Project Number	LASDAP D 46	
Constituency	Dagoretti	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Mugi/Gitu-amba road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of road	
Location/Ward	Uthiru/Rithimitu	
Councillors Name	Waweru James Ndungu	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	4,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	3,996,300	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,700	
Technical Performance Score	23/30 (77%)	
Project Classification		
Comments	Project has been well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 16	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Public Lighting Infrastructure Installation, 2 No. Highmasts	
Project Activity	Installation of 2 Highmasts	
Location/Ward	Sarangombe	
Councillors Name	Odiawo Jared Odhiambo	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	4,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	3,236,400	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,263,600	
Technical Performance Score	28/30 (93%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The project is well implemented and meets the purpose for which it was meant for. The community is happy and the second mast is equally effective.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 17	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Public Lighting Installation – Kuwinda Village road off Langata Road	
Project Activity	Public lighting installation	
Location/Ward	Karen	
Councillors Name	Katangie Helen Nairuko	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	1,980,906	
Total Unaccounted Funds	19,094	
Technical Performance Score	27/30 (90%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The project is well implemented and is in line with the required standard.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 18	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Road Rehabilitation – Lane to Karen C Secondary School	
Project Activity	Road rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Karen	
Councillors Name	Katangie Helen Nairuko	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Not indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	12/30 (40)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	The road is poorly done and the school has complained that further improvements be done on the road.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 19	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kichinjio/ D.O's Office	
Project Activity	Road Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Makina / Kibera	
Councillors Name	Mohamed Gore	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	1,998,240	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1760	
Technical Performance Score	07/30 (23%)	
Project Classification	Category B	
Comments	Project has not been well implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 20	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Mitumba village& Cotton village roads phase II	
Project Activity	Road rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Nairobi West	
Councillors Name	Ombajo Evans Christopher	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	3,017,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	-17,000	
Technical Performance Score	19/30 (63%)	
Project Classification	Category C	
Comments	The project is still on-going but even though incomplete, it has already surpassed the allocated budget.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 21	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Mashimoni-Laini Saba Road	
Project Activity	Road Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Lindi / Laini Saba	
Councillors Name	Adam Alhad Ahmed	
Project Status	Project is incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Not Indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Not easy to tell	
Technical Performance Score	11/30 (37%)	
Project Classification	Category C	
Comments	The work according to community members has taken unnecessarily long time and the budget consumed on the infrastructure is not indicated.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 22	
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Mwembieni-Stara Road	
Project Activity	Road Rehabilitation	
Location/Ward	Makina / Kibera	
Councillors Name	Mohamed Gore	
Project Status	Project is completed and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	2,994,566	
Total Unaccounted Funds	5434	
Technical Performance Score	22/30 (73%)	
Project Classification	Category A	
Comments	The project has generally been well implemented and reflects value for money.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 23	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Public Lighting Infrastructure	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of lighting infrastructure	
Location/Ward	Mugumoini	
Councillors Name	Otieno Alex Ouda	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The project is indicated to be on the tendering stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 24	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of drainage – Kungu Karumba/Kitengela Road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of drainage	
Location/Ward	Mugumoini	
Councillors Name	Otieno Alex Ouda	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The project is indicated to be on the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 25	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Installation of water tanks – Raila Village, Otiende and Langata Prison	
Project Activity	Installation of water tanks	
Location/Ward	Mugumoini / Langata	
Councillors Name	Otieno Alex / Mberia David	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	1,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The records indicate the project is at the tendering stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 26	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Construction of public toilets – Kuwinda Village	
Project Activity	Construction of toilets	
Location/Ward	Karen	
Councillors Name	Katangie Helen Nairuko	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,500,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	Records indicate that tender documents for the project are under preparation.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 27	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Karen Market Enhancement	
Project Activity	Construction of drainage	
Location/Ward	Karen	
Councillors Name	Katangie Helen Nairuko	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	1,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The records reveal that the project is at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 28	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Roads and Drainage Rehabilitation	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of roads and drainage	
Location/Ward	Nairobi West	
Councillors Name	Ombajo Evans Christopher	
Project Status	Unknown	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	It is indicated that the tender has been awarded but implementation is yet to commence. Note that the recording is vague and it is not easy to monitor the projects supported with the money.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 29	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Soweto-Shiranga Road	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of the road	
Location/Ward	Lindi / Laini Saba	
Councillors Name	Adam Alhad Ahmed	
Project Status	Incomplete but in use	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Not indicated	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Difficult to determine	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments		

Project Number	LASDAP L 30	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Public Lighting Installation	
Project Activity	Installation of lighting infra-structure	
Location/Ward	Lindi / Laini Saba	
Councillors Name	Adam Alhad Ahmed	
Project Status	Missing	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Nil	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	Records indicate that the project is at the design stage.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 31	? Missing Project
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Rehabilitation/Construction of road drainage	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation/Construction of road drainage	
Location/Ward	Sarangombe	
Councillors Name	Odiawo Jared Odhiambo	
Project Status	Unknown	
Total Funds Awarded to date	3,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Unknown	
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The status of the project is unknown even in the official records that should account for tax payers money.	

Project Number	LASDAP L 32	? **Missing Project**
Constituency	Langata	
Project Name	Public Lighting Installation	
Project Activity	Installation of lighting infra-structure	
Location/Ward	Makina / Kibera	
Councillors Name	Mohamed Gore	
Project Status	Unknown	
Total Funds Awarded to date	2,000,000	
Total Funds Spent to date	Unknown	
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	
Technical Performance Score	N/A	
Project Classification	Category E	
Comments	The status of the project is unknown even in the official records that should account for tax payers money.	

Annex 2
CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation

Report No.	

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (April 2008) Kshs.	

Total Other Funds Spent to date (April 2008) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	

Total Funds Spent to date (April 2008) Kshs.	

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	

Annex 3

CDF/LATF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of DMO	

Instructions for DMO

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- DMOs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name		
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name		
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)			1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed			_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____		
8. DMO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____			
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes 2. No		
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company	9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?		1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)				
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?		1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____			
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?		1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?			1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know	

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?		1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?		1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF/LATF projects?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
29. DMO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use		
	2. Project is completed and not in use		
	3. Project is incomplete and in use		
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use		
	5. Project is "missing", does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 4

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)	%	**Project No.**	
Project Type (LATF/CDF)		**Project No.**	

Project Name		**Constituency Name**	

District Name		**Location / Ward Name**	

Area	**Out of 10**	**Justify the score you gave with explanation below** (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)	%	**What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer)** 1. Project has been well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project has not been well implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	**Date:**
Signature of technical reviewer:	**Time:**