

Citizen's Local Authority Transfer Fund Report Card for

Mombasa Municipal Council
(Mvita, Likoni, Changamwe and
Kisauni Constituencies)



© National Taxpayers Association
March, 2010

This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID), through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF) and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and they do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Executive Summary	1
3.0	Recommendations for His Worship the Mayor and the ward councillors	2
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Mvita Constituency	3
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Likoni Constituency	4
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Changamwe Constituency	5
	Summary findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Kisauni Constituency	6
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	7
	Annex 1: Project profiles and digital photographs for all LATF projects visited and assessed	
	• LASDAP Projects in Mvita	10 - 13
	• LASDAP Projects in Likoni	14 - 16
	• LASDAP Projects in Changamwe	17 - 23
	• LASDAP Projects in Kisauni	24 - 29
	Annex 2: CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form	30
	Annex 3: CDF/LATF Project Users Questionnaire	31
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	33

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located in the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 46 constituencies from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research (on LATF projects) in Mombasa Municipal Council (Mvita, Likoni, Changamwe and Kisauni constituencies)
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and May 2009.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- The main findings from NTAs research in Mombasa Municipal Council (Mvita, Likoni, Changamwe and Kisauni) Constituencies are listed in Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- The key findings for projects funded and monitored are as follows:

Mvita Constituency

Kshs 12,202,206 of taxpayers' money has been badly used due to complete and incomplete projects that are not in use

70% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 were on badly implemented projects

Kshs. 16,178 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

<1% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 are missing and unaccounted for

Likoni Constituency

Kshs 994,486 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

10% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 are missing and unaccounted for

Changamwe Constituency

Kshs 4,367,769 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

39% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 are missing and unaccounted for

Kshs. 817,805 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

7% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 are missing and unaccounted for

Kisauni Constituency

Kshs 1,410,602 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

7% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 were on badly implemented projects

Kshs. 598,745 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

3% of the total LATF funds awarded in FY 2007-08 are missing and unaccounted for

3.0 Recommendations for His Worship the Mayor and the ward councillors

1. Complete all incomplete LATF projects by the end of 09/10 FY.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 100% badly built LATF projects in FY 09/10.
4. Make available to NTA all records of LATF projects, plus Bills of Quantities.

Table 1: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Mvita Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	4	5,335,567	5,335,567	-
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	3	12,218,384	12,202,206	16,178
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	-	-	-	-
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers’ money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		7	17,553,951	17,537,773	16,178

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	12,202,206	70%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	16,178	<1%

Table 2: Summary Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Likoni Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, complete projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayer's	2	2,217,356	2,079,041	138,314
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	-	-	-	-
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	3	7,533,305	6,677,134	856,172
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayer's money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	-	-	-	-
F	Missing funds - funds awarded are higher than what the project location had actually spent	-	-	-	-
Total		5	9,750,661	8,756,175	994,486

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	-	-
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted for	994,486	10%

Table 3: Summary Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Changamwe Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, complete projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayer's	1	1,986,982	1,986,982	-
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	1	4,597,652	4,367,769	229,883
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	4	4,650,868	4,062,946	587,922
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayer's money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	-	-	-	-
F	Missing funds - funds awarded are higher than what the project location had actually spent	-	-	-	-
Total		6	11,235,502	10,417,697	817,805

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	4,367,769	39%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted for	817,805	7%

Table 4: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of LATF Projects in Kisauni Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	11	12,225,190	12,225,190	-
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	5	1,525,773	1,410,602	115,171
C	Well built, incomplete projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	4	6,159,137	5,675,563	483,574
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and not funded in the last year, taxpayers’ money is wasted	-	-	-	-
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		20	19,910,100	19,311,355	598,745

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	1,410,602	7%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	598,745	3%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Research Officers held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on LATF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At least 10% of the projects funded in the stated financial year were monitored.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Research Officers visited all selected LATF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i) took digital photographs of the project;
- ii) undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 3)¹.

3. Desk-based Project Classification

Upon returning from the field NTA Research Officers analysed the data collected and then classified all projects into one of the following five categories:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for LATF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 3)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for LATF projects which had scored less than 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, incomplete projects

This category was for LATF projects which had scored above 15 marks out of 30 on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is incomplete.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the LATF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for LATF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist as at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

¹The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

²The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

4. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.



5. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification



Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.



Annex 1



Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all LATF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08







Project Number	LATF M1	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Renovation of Bondeni primary school phase ii	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Bondeni	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Omar Zedi	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	14th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,836,514.79	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,836,514.79	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	60%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The renovation work was well done and has significantly enhanced the learning environment in the school. The workmanship is good and the administration expressed satisfaction with the work done. There is value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	LATF M2	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Renovation of Ganjoni clinic	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Ganjoni	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Magaret Olang	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	15th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	900,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	900,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The workmanship in renovation of the clinic was flawless and there is no doubt widespread satisfaction with the work undertaken. There is certainly value for money in the project.	



Project Number	LATF M7	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Renovation of Tom Mboya primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Tononoka	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Omar Jumaan	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	14th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,312,203.60	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,312,203.60	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The renovation work was very comprehensive and involved painting, roof repairs and flooring work. The project has given the school a major facelift and improved the learning environment and conditions. The school administration and the community members expressed satisfaction with the work done.	



Project Number	LATF M9	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	2 boreholes at Mwembe tayari	
Project Activity	Drilling of 2 borehole	
Location/Ward	Mwembe Tayari	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Sharif A. Shekue	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	17th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,286,848.80	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,286,848.80	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The two bore holes were well done and provide the community with reliable, clean and safe water. There is widespread community satisfaction with the project and it indeed represents value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	LASDAP M3	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Makupa market	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Majengo	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. M.S. Mathias	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	28th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	7,713,942.00	
Total Funds Spent to Date	7,713,942.00	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	23%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Bearing in mind the amount of money allocated to the project and the amount of work done on the facility, it is clear that the two are not commensurate. The workmanship in the project is shoddy and the materials used were of poor quality. There is hardly value for money in the project.	



Project Number	LASDAP M4	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Majengo clinic	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Majengo	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. M.S. Mathias	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	15th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,404,442.40	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,404,442.40	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	46%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Despite the significant financial allocation to the project, the workmanship is appalling as evident in the crack on the wall above. There is hardly value for money in this project.	



Project Number	LASDAP M8	
Constituency	Mvita	
Project Name	Re.roofing of Tudor estate housing-phase ii	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Tudor	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Kennedy Muthimi	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	14th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,100,000.00	
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,083,822.40	
Total Unaccounted Funds	16,177.60	
Technical Performance Score	40%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	The overall workmanship in the project is not satisfactory at all. The finishing is rough and casually undertaken. There is hardly value for taxpayer money in this project.	



Project Number	LATF L1	
Constituency	Likoni	
Project Name	Construction of classrooms at Jamvi la Wageni primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Bofu	
Councilor's Name	Hon. Mwakunyapa	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	17th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,383,141.50	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,244,827.35	
Total Unaccounted Funds	138,314.15	
Technical Performance Score	83%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The project has significantly reduced the congestion crisis at the school. The classes are well done using quality materials and the workmanship is quite remarkable. The community expressed great satisfaction with the project. It represents value for taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	LATF L3	
Constituency	Likoni	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of vijiweni primary school	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation works	
Location/Ward	Mtongwe	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Mwidani H. Musa	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	6th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	834,214.00	
Total Funds Spent to Date	834,214.00	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	76%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The rehabilitation work was well done and it largely involved wall painting, flooring work and roofing. The workmanship is commendable and according to the school administration, the project has significantly improved the learning environment in the school.	



Project Number	LASDAP L2		
Constituency	Likoni		
Project Name	Construction of Likoni 'B' social hall		
Project Activity	Construction of multipurpose hall.		
Location/Ward	Bofu		
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Mwakunyapa		
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,912,896.00		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,368,765.31		
Total Unaccounted Funds	544,130.69		
Technical Performance Score	53%		
Project Classification	C		
Comments	This is a major project in the ward. The amount of work done is indeed commensurate to the amount of money in question and the workmanship is indeed commendable. So far, there is value for money in the project.		



Project Number	LASDAP L4		
Constituency	Likoni		
Project Name	Construction of open air market		
Project Activity	Construction of open air market		
Location/Ward	Shikaadabu		
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Madundo Mohamed		
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,120,409.44		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,808,368.49		
Total Unaccounted Funds	312,040.95		
Technical Performance Score	73%		
Project Classification	C		
Comments	The work already done is good and represents value for the amount of money in question. The community expressed satisfaction with the work done and is anxious to see it taken to completion. So far, there is value for money in the project.		



Project Number	LASDAP L5	
Constituency	Likoni	
Project Name	Maternity wing at Shikaadabu health centre	
Project Activity	Construction of maternity wing	
Location/Ward	Shikaadabu	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Madundo Mohamed	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	60%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	This is another major undertaking by the council. As at the time of visit, the work was on going and indeed there is value for taxpayers' money in the project. So far, this is a well implemented project.	



Project Number	LATF k3	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Renovation of Shimo La Tewa primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	7th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,250,910	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,250,910	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	50%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The school has received a major facelift due to the project. The community and the school administration both expressed satisfaction with the work undertaken at the institution.	



Project Number	LATF k8	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Bombo village	
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Bombo village	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Complete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	8th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	203,130	
Total Funds Spent to Date	203,130	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	Although the project was complete as at the time of NTA visit, it had not been inaugurated and has not began serving the community needs. The work undertaken is good and there is value for money in it.	



Project Number	LATF k10		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Community well at Utange health centre		
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Utange health centre		
Location/Ward	Bamburi		
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi		
Project Status	Complete and in use		
Date the project was Monitored	7th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	189,880		
Total Funds Spent to Date	189,880		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	73%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The well was properly done and is serving the community needs. Although the finishing is somewhat suspect, there is certainly value for taxpayers' money bearing in mind the total financial allocation to the project.		



Project Number	LATF k11		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kiembeni primary school		
Project Activity	Rehabilitation works		
Location/Ward	Bamburi		
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi		
Project Status	Complete and in use		
Date the project was Monitored	8th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,826,725		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,826,725		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	73%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The rehabilitation work has restored the school to its former self and has meaningfully improved the learning environment. The workmanship is commendable as reflected in the painting work. The school administration expressed satisfaction with the project.		



Project Number	LATF k12		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Completion of Kiembeni primary school- phase ii		
Project Activity	Completion of phase ii		
Location/Ward	Bamburi		
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi		
Project Status	Complete and in use		
Date the project was Monitored	8th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,498,480		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,498,480		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	73%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	This financial allocation was meant to facilitate completion of phase two of the classrooms construction in the school. The classes are well done and are in line with the MoE specifications. The community and the administration both confirmed their satisfaction with the work done.		



Project Number	LATF k13		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Health centre at Mgongeni		
Project Activity	Construction of health centre		
Location/Ward	Kongowea/Freretown		
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Mcharo J. Charles		
Project Status	Complete and not in use		
Date the project was Monitored	9th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,805,654.90		
Total Funds Spent to Date	4,805,654.90		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	70%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The amount of work undertaken on the project is indeed commensurate to the amount of money in question. The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is very impressive. Although complete, the project was not operational as at the time of NTA visit. There is however value for taxpayers' money in the project.		



Project Number	LATF k14		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Renovation of Serani primary school		
Project Activity	Renovation works		
Location/Ward	Kizingo		
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Kushe A. H. Bori		
Project Status	Complete and in use		
Date the project was Monitored	9th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	850,550		
Total Funds Spent to Date	850,550		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	66%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The renovation work has given the school a major facelift and has significantly improved the learning environment. The school administration expressed satisfaction with the project which largely involved painting and flooring works. There is indeed value for money in the project.		

Project Number	LATF k15		
Constituency	Kisauni		
Project Name	Renovation of the star of the sea primary school		
Project Activity	Renovation works		
Location/Ward	Kizingo		
Councilor's Name	Kushe A. H. Bori		
Project Status	Complete and in use		
Date the project was Monitored	9th April 2009		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	600,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	600,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		
Technical Performance Score	83%		
Project Classification	A		
Comments	The renovation work has given the school a major facelift and has significantly improved the learning environment. The school administration expressed satisfaction with the project which largely involved painting and flooring works. There is indeed value for money in the project.		


Project Number	LATF k16	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Renovation of Mbaraki primary school	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Kizingo	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Kushe A. H. Bori	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	9th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	138,500	
Total Funds Spent to Date	138,500	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	76%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The renovation work has restored the school to its former self and has meaningfully improved the learning environment. The workmanship is commendable as reflected in the painting work. The school administration expressed satisfaction with the project.	

Project Number	LATF k20	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Construction of classrooms at Marimani primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	8th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	600,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	600,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	83%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The two classes that were constructed using quality materials were done in accordance with MoE specifications and represent value for money. The workmanship is commendable and there is widespread community satisfaction with the project.	


Project Number	LATF k1	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Utange primary school	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation works	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Incomplete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	7th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,183,406	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,183,406	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	66%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	As at the time of NTA visit, the project was ongoing and it was clear that the materials in use were of good quality and the workmanship was impressive. There is no doubt value for taxpayers' money in the project.	


Project Number	LATF k5	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Msufini village	
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Msufini village	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	8th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	244,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	231,800	
Total Unaccounted Funds	12,200	
Technical Performance Score	66%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	A significant amount of work has been undertaken with regard to the project. However, as at the time of NTA visit, the work was ongoing and it was clear that there is value for taxpayers' money in the project. So far, the project is well implemented.	


Project Number	LATF k2	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Mkoros-honi	
Project Activity	Community well	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	7th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	261,360	
Total Funds Spent to Date	261,360	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The well was properly constructed. The workmanship is good and the community confirmed that they now have access to clean, safe and reliable water supply. There is value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	LASDAP k6	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Nguuni village	
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Nguuni village	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Hon. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Complete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	17th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	188,570	
Total Funds Spent to Date	180,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	8,570	
Technical Performance Score	6%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	This is another amazing project. Whereas the well was dug and built, it is not in use as there is no water. Why incur the costs of the pump when one knows that there is no water in the well? This amounts to a serious waste of taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	LASDAP k 4	Photo Unavailable
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Majaoni primary school	
Project Activity	Construction of community well	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	4th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	244,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	231,800	
Total Unaccounted Funds	12,200	
Technical Performance Score	3%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	It is amazing that after digging the well to the expected level, there was no trace of water in the well despite the serious community need for the facility. There should have been a proper feasibility study before digging and burying taxpayers' money in the ground. There is no value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	LASDAP k 7	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Community well at Maweni	
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Maweni	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	7th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	84,572.52	
Total Funds Spent to Date	84,572.52	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	7%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Although the well is dug, it has not been built and remains a major security threat especially to children. Note the vegetation that is threatening to conceal the dip hole from the naked human eye. In this state, the project amounts to a waste of taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	LASDAP k 9	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	community well at Kashani village	
Project Activity	Construction of community well at Kashani village	
Location/Ward	Bamburi	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Pole Kambi	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	17th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	129,250	
Total Funds Spent to Date	122,787	
Total Unaccounted Funds	6,463	
Technical Performance Score	16%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Although the well has been dug to the expected depth, it remains dry and the community cannot access water from the facility. In its present state, it amounts into a waste of taxpayers' money.	



Project Number	LASDAP k18	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Renovation to Kaderbuoy clinic	
Project Activity	Renovation works	
Location/Ward	Kizingo	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Kushe A. H. Bori	
Project Status	Incomplete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	17th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	879,380	
Total Funds Spent to Date	791,442	
Total Unaccounted Funds	87,938	
Technical Performance Score	43%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	The overall workmanship in the project is suspicious and so is the quality of materials used in renovating the facility. The amount of work done is not commensurate with the amount of money in question. There is no value for taxpayers' money in the project.	



Project Number	LASDAP k17	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Rehabilitation of Kongowea health clinic	
Project Activity	Rehabilitation works	
Location/Ward	Kongowea	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Kyaka J. wambua	
Project Status	Incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,583,908.00	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,427,317.20	
Total Unaccounted Funds	156,590.80	
Technical Performance Score	53%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Although still at the foundation stage, the work already done is remarkable and reflects value for taxpayers' money. The community indeed confirmed that this is a priority project for most of the community members.	



Project Number	LASDAP k19	
Constituency	Kisauni	
Project Name	Construction of Mwakirunge health centre	
Project Activity	Construction of health centre	
Location/Ward	Mwakirunge	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Goshi Juma Mwejuma	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,147,823	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,833,040	
Total Unaccounted Funds	314,783	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Although work on the project is still ongoing, the bit that has already been undertaken is commendable and the workmanship is very impressive. So far, there is value for money in the project.	



Project Number	LATF C3	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Construction of classrooms at st Mary's primary school- mikindani	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Mikindani	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Kombo Mzee	
Project Status	Complete and in use	
Date the project was Monitored	16th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,986,982	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,986,982	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	A	
Comments	The overall workmanship in this project has been outstanding. The classes meet the MoE specifications and have greatly improved the learning environment as well as conditions in the school. The school administration and the community expressed satisfaction with the project.	

Project Number	LASDAP C1	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Construction of open air market at jomvu kuu	
Project Activity	Construction of open air market	
Location/Ward	Jomvu kuu	
Councilor's Name	Cllr. Munyika K. Nzai	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Date the project was Monitored	15th April 2009	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,597,651.88	
Total Funds Spent to Date	4,367,769.29	
Total Unaccounted Funds	229,882.59	
Technical Performance Score	40%	
Project Classification	B	
Comments	Despite the significant financial allocation to the project, it remains incomplete and above all, is shoddily done. The dip cracks on the wall as captured in the above picture are a mark of poor workmanship. The drainage system is poor and the community is dissatisfied with the work done. There is no value for money in the project.	

Project Number	LASDAP C2	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Re-roofing of Changamwe estate houses phase ii	
Project Activity	Re-roofing of estate houses phase ii	
Location/Ward	Changamwe	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Kevin Wesonga	
Project Status	Incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,100,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,100,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	67%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The above amount was committed to re-roofing of the council houses. The work although incomplete, has been well done using quality materials. The workmanship is commendable and there is overall satisfaction with the project.	

Project Number	LASDAP C4	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Construction of Miritini health clinic	
Project Activity	Construction of health clinic	
Location/Ward	Miritini	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Ndurya Khamis Ali	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,650,868	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,062,946	
Total Unaccounted Funds	587,922	
Technical Performance Score	76%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	Although incomplete, the amount of work done is commensurate with the amount of money in question. The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is indeed commendable. There is value for taxpayers' money in the project so far.	

Project Number	LASDAP C5	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Completion of classrooms at Mwijabu primary	
Project Activity	Completion of 2 classrooms	
Location/Ward	Potreizt	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Omar Asaa	
Project Status	Incomplete and not in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	450,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	450,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	73%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	As at the time of project visit, the classes were undergoing construction and so far the work was well done and in accordance with MoE specifications. The materials are of good quality and the workmanship is noticeable.	

Project Number	LASDAP C6	
Constituency	Changamwe	
Project Name	Boundary wall at Umoja primary school	
Project Activity	Completion of boundary fence	
Location/Ward	Potreizt	
Councillor's Name	Cllr. Kaleve Milton M.	
Project Status	Incomplete and in use	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	450,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	450,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	66%	
Project Classification	C	
Comments	The boundary wall was still undergoing construction as at the time of NTA visit. The bit that was already done is stable and the materials used are of good quality. There is value for money in the project.	

Annex 3
CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.	

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
Councillors Name (2007)		Councillors Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
----------------	----------	--	--------------------	--	---------	--	----------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
--	--

Total Funds Spent to date (April 2009) Kshs.	
--	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
------------------	--	----------------	--

Annex 4

CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of RO

Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District	2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project	4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female 2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer	4. Private Sector Employee
	2. Business Owner	5. Government employee
	3. Labourer /Housekeeper	6. Other (explain) _____
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project	
	2. Resident from community uses the project	
	3. Other (explain) _____	
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes 2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF	4. Community
	2. LATF	5. Donors/NGOs
	3. MP	6. Government
	7. Councillor	9. Do not know
	8. Private company	10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use	
	2. Project is completed and not in use	
	3. Project is incomplete and in use	
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use	
	5. Project is "missing", does not exist	
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)		
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?		1. Yes, community participated
		2. No, community did not participate
		3. Do not know
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting	
	2. I know people who attended and they told me	
	3. Other (explain) _____	
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?		1. _____ people (write the number)
		2. Do not know
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?		1. Yes
		2. No
		3. Do not know

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use		
	2. Project is completed and not in use		
	3. Project is incomplete and in use		
	4. Project is incomplete and not in use		
	5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 5

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)		%	Project No.	
----------------------------	--	---	-------------	--

Project Type (LATE/CDF)		Project No.	
-------------------------	--	-------------	--

Project Name		Constituency Name	
--------------	--	-------------------	--

District Name		Location / Ward Name	
---------------	--	----------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time: