

Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Malindi Constituency Kilifi County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Executive Summary	3
	Table 1: CDF Allocations to Malindi Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08	3
3.0	Recommendations for Hon. Hon. Maitha Gideon Mungaro and Malindi CDFC	4
	Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08	5
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	6
	Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08	
	Category A projects	9 - 12
	Category B projects	12 - 14
	Category C projects	15 - 16
	Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form	17
	Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire	18 - 19
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	20
	Annex 5: Correspondence between NTA and Member of Parliament	21

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located at the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 82 constituencies and 15 Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF) Reports from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Malindi Constituency. The report covers one government Financial Year 2007/08. The NTA has not researched the 2008/09 Financial Year as much of the funds have not been spent to date.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and October 2010.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Malindi Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Ksh.229,750,914** has been allocated to the constituency since 2003/2004 to Financial Year 2007/2008.
- The main finding from NTAs research in Malindi constituency are listed in Table 2 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- Correspondence of NTA meetings with the area Member of parliament on the constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 5.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Malindi Constituency for Financial Years 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Malindi	6,000,000	29,328,716	37,000,000	67,359,806.86	90,062,391	229,750,914

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:-

Kshs. **26,581,212.00** of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects.

33% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects.

Kshs. **1,830,000.00** of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for.

2% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Maitha Gideon Mungaro and Malindi CDFC

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects.
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Malindi Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers'.	13	49,377,460.00	48,627,460.00	750,000.00
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	11	26,581,212.00	26,581,212.00	-
C	Well built, ongoing projects - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	5	5,550,000.00	4,470,000.00	1,080,000.00
TOTAL		29	81,508,672.00	79,678,672.00	1,830,000.00

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	26,581,212.00	33%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	1,830,000.00	2%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Regional Officer held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Regional Officer visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)¹.

3. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Regional Officer contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

4. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Regional Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects as follows:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form (Annex 4)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, ongoing projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is ongoing.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects officially allocated funds which had been spent, but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

5. Comments and Review

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments (see letter in Annex 5). The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent (see Annex 6) at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.


In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments. The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.


Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08


Member of Parliament


2002 - 2007	Hon. Lucas Baya Maitha
2008 - 2012	Hon. Maitha Gideon Mungaro


Project Number	CDF/MLD/002/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Sir Ali Primary School		
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of six classrooms		
Location/Ward	Malindi		
Date of Assessment	27/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	83%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: As one of the oldest schools in Malindi town, the renovation of the school has improved its image and the learning environment of the students. The renovations done are sustainable based on the quality of the materials used .			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/003/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Kavunyalalo Primary School		
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classrooms		
Location/Ward	Goshi		
Date of Assessment	2/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,600,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,600,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	50%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project has improved the sitting arrangements in the school by decongesting classes, which were congested due to free primary education, through redistribution of the students to the new classrooms.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/004/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Kakoneni Nursery School		
Project Activity	Construction of two classroom		
Location/Ward	Jilore		
Date of Assessment	1/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,600,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,600,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	66%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The availability of the nursery school building has improved the learning environment of the pre-primary school education for pupils in the area. The quality of materials applied, the structural set-up, and the finishing appraised against the funds so far spent shows good use of taxpayers money.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/005/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Canon Mweri Secondary School		
Project Activity	Construction of a classroom, toilet & desks		
Location/Ward	Gede		
Date of Assessment	25/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,600,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,600,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	83%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project will not only improve the learning environment but will also improve hygiene and health status of the school community. The workmanship applied on the project will enable provision of service by the project in the long-term.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/006/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Robert Macri Secondary School		
Project Activity	Construction of a Secondary School		
Location/Ward	Watamu		
Date of Assessment	8/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,900,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,900,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	83%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project is of high quality; the quality of materials applied and the finishing. The project has improved access to secondary education to the community by constructing a school nearer to them.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/008/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Lucas Baya Maitha Secondary School		
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classroom & administration block		
Location/Ward	Langobaya		
Date of Assessment	3/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,400,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,400,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	80%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project shows appropriate usage of taxpayers' money. What was done were two classrooms and a laboratory. The laboratory will improve the performance of the students on science subjects.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/014/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Langobaya dispensary staff quarter		
Project Activity	Construction of a twin quarter		
Location/Ward	Langobaya		
Date of Assessment	3/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,000,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,000,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	60%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project will improve the process of service delivery to the community members and thus positively impact their living standards through easier access to medical services. Good value for money.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/015/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Watamu Dispensary		
Project Activity	Construction of a dispensary		
Location/Ward	Watamu		
Date of Assessment	26/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,456,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,706,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	750,000		Project Category A
Technical Performance Score	53%		Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The project has improved access to better medical services by the community members in Watamu because of the broadened scope of operation of the dispensary to the level it has been upgraded to. The project is value for taxpayers money invested.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/021/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Grader Project				
Project Activity	Purchase of motor grader				
Location/Ward	Malindi				
Date of Assessment	1/03/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	19,400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	19,400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	A
Technical Performance Score	60%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The grader has good quality specifications and features as compared to what the market offers and guarantees for a grader of a similar kind. The project will contribute to the maintenance of roads in the constituency to sustain the movement of people, goods and services within the community.					


Project Number	CDF/MLD/022/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Arabuko Sokoke Electric Fence				
Project Activity	Installation of electric fence around the forest				
Location/Ward	Goshi and Jilore				
Date of Assessment	8/02/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	9,300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	9,300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	A
Technical Performance Score	86%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project has contributed to the conservation of natural resources and wildlife animals, thus creating a sustainable coexistence between the community and their environment without much conflict.					


Project Number	CDF/MLD/023/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Marikano Primary School				
Project Activity	Renovation of school				
Location/Ward	Langobaya				
Date of Assessment	3/02/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	921,460				
Total Funds Spent to Date	921,460				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The renovation of the school has improved the learning environment. This will positively impact on the performance of the students. Good value for money.					


Project Number	CDF/MLD/024/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Kwa Upanga Primary School				
Project Activity	Renovation of 5 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Ganda				
Date of Assessment	29/01/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	350,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	350,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The renovations have improved the learning environment in the school. Good value for money.					

Project Number	CDF/MLD/025/07-08	
Constituency	Malindi	
Project Name	Shakahola Primary School	
Project Activity	Renovation of 5 classrooms blown off by wind	
Location/Ward	Chakama	
Date of Assessment	5/02/2010	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	350,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	350,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	80%	Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The roof was well done, thus guaranteeing the school community long-term service from the project. It has improved the learning environment of the school.		


Project Number	CDF/MLD/007/07-08	
Constituency	Malindi	
Project Name	Barani Secondary School	
Project Activity	Construction of administration block	
Location/Ward	Malindi	
Date of Assessment	2/05/2010	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	46%	Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The implementation of the project was poor as indicated by the quality of the project. The project has cracks emerging on different parts of the building. No value for money		

Project Number	CDF/MLD/009/07-08	
Constituency	Malindi	
Project Name	Ganda Secondary School	
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classroom & two toilets	
Location/Ward	Gede	
Date of Assessment	29/01/2010	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,600,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,600,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	33%	Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: The amount of taxpayers money invested on the project is not commensurate to the work done. Poor quality of workmanship and low value to taxpayers.		


Project Number	CDF/MLD/010/07-08	
Constituency	Malindi	
Project Name	Kibokoni Secondary School	
Project Activity	Construction of a laboratory	
Location/Ward	Malindi	
Date of Assessment	4/02/2010	
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000	
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000	
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	
Technical Performance Score	40%	Project Status Complete and in use
Comments: Although the project will play a great role in improving the scores of science subjects in the school, the valuation of the project in relation to what is indicated to have been spent indicates inflated costs.		

Project Number	CDF/MLD/011/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Mijomboni dispensary staff quarter		
Project Activity	Construction of a twin staff quarter		
Location/Ward	Gede		
Date of Assessment	26/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,300,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,300,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category B
Technical Performance Score	40%		Project Status Incomplete and in use


Comments: The project will improve the working conditions of the officers at the dispensary, thus impacting positively on the service delivered to the community. However, the project has been poorly implemented in terms of overall quality of workmanship and reflects poor usage of taxpayers' money.

Project Number	CDF/MLD/012/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Matolani dispensary staff quarter		
Project Activity	Construction of a twin staff quarter		
Location/Ward	Chakama		
Date of Assessment	6/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,000,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category B
Technical Performance Score	33%		Project Status Complete and in use


Comments: The project has improved the living conditions of the staff. However, the community received less value on the grounds that the overall quality of the project is poor thus not guaranteeing taxpayers long-term usage.


Project Number	CDF/MLD/016/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Madunguni dispensary staff quarter		
Project Activity	Construction of a twin dormitory & quarter		
Location/Ward	Goshi		
Date of Assessment	02/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,700,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,700,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category B
Technical Performance Score	36%		Project Status Incomplete and not in use

Comments: Appropriate structures have been incorporated in the project and the quality of materials applied is good but the valuation of the project in relation to the actual work done shows disparities on proper usage of taxpayers' money.


Project Number	CDF/MLD/017/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Malimo Dispensary		
Project Activity	Construction of a dispensary toilet		
Location/Ward	Goshi		
Date of Assessment	2/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil		Project Category B
Technical Performance Score	46%		Project Status Incomplete and not in use


Comments: Once operational the project will improve the living standards of the community through provision of medical services, but the quality of workmanship is poor. Thus the community members are not guaranteed long term value accrual through service provision.


Project Number	CDF/MLD/018/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Meny Heart Secondary School		
Project Activity	Construction of a complete secondary school		
Location/Ward	Gede		
Date of Assessment	26/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	5,500,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,500,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Project Category	B
Technical Performance Score	46%	Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project will contribute to the provision of secondary school education near the community members but the project is not a representation of good use of taxpayers' money.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/019/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Equipping Dispensary Project		
Project Activity	Equipping of dispensaries		
Location/Ward	Malindi		
Date of Assessment	1/03/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	5,500,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,500,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Project Category	B
Technical Performance Score	30%	Project Status	Incomplete and in use
Comments: The equipping of dispensaries has improved the living standards of the communities where the equipments have been distributed to the community, thereby making medical services available closer to them and reducing the cost involved in transport to access medical services.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/020/07-08	Photo Unavailable	
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Constituency Office Project		
Project Activity	Purchase of office equipments		
Location/Ward	Malindi		
Date of Assessment	25/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,571,212		
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,571,212		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Project Category	B
Technical Performance Score	40%	Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The equipment has improved the working environment of the CDF office which in turn has positively impacted the service delivered to the community.			


Project Number	CDF/MLD/027/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Mijomboni Primary School		
Project Activity	Purchase and installation of a solar panel		
Location/Ward	Gede		
Date of Assessment	26/01/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	110,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	110,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil	Project Category	B
Technical Performance Score	36%	Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The solar panel has contributed to the provision of a sustainable source of power to the school, however the valuation of the work related to the solar panel shows disparity and inflation.			

Project Number	CDF/MLD/001/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Upweoni Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of four classrooms				
Location/Ward	Malindi				
Date of Assessment	27/01/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,200,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,600,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	600,000			Project Category	C
Technical Performance Score	73%			Project Status	Ongoing and in use
<p>Comments: The project will improve the status of the learning facilities in the school that had previously been stretched. The quality of workmanship, materials used and structural set-up shows value for taxpayers funds invested in the project.</p>					

Project Number	CDF/MLD/013/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Sabaki Dispensary				
Project Activity	Upgrading of the dispensary				
Location/Ward	Malindi				
Date of Assessment	4/02/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	C
Technical Performance Score	53%			Project Status	Ongoing and not in use
<p>Comments: The project is worth the taxpayers' funds invested in it due to the quality of the structures, the materials used, and the finishing. The upgrading of the dispensary will broaden the scope and capacity of medical services in the community. Good value for money.</p>					

Project Number	CDF/MLD/026/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Dabaso Primary School				
Project Activity	Installing electricity & construction of administration block				
Location/Ward	Malindi				
Date of Assessment	28/01/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	350,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	350,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	C
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Ongoing
<p>Comments: The project will enable flexibility for the time periods in which the school carries out its lessons and positively impact on the performance of the students. Electrification is complete and in use but the construction is ongoing.</p>					

Project Number	CDF/MLD/028/07-08				
Constituency	Malindi				
Project Name	Kikombethele squatter settlement scheme				
Project Activity	Settlement of over 600 people				
Location/Ward	Goshi				
Date of Assessment	2/02/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	570,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	570,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	Nil			Project Category	C
Technical Performance Score	50%			Project Status	Ongoing and in use
<p>Comments: The project has facilitated the process of relocating squatters to the Kikombethele settlement scheme and issuing title deeds to the community members. The project has facilitated land ownership. The project re-settled over 600 people to the Kikombethele settlement scheme.</p>					

Project Number	CDF/MLD/029/07-08		
Constituency	Malindi		
Project Name	Mmangani Water Project		
Project Activity	Construction of a water pipeline		
Location/Ward	Goshi		
Date of Assessment	2/02/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	930,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	450,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	480,000	Project Category	C
Technical Performance Score	80%	Project Status	Ongoing and not in use
Comments: The project will improve access to clean water by the community, thus positively impacting their health and living standards. The creation of water points will make water more accessible to the community members.			

Annex 2

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
MPs Name (2007)		MPs Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
-----------------------	-----------------	--	---------------------------	--	----------------	--	-----------------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
--	--

Total Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/com- munity/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of con- struction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
-------------------------	--	-----------------------	--

Annex 3

Name of RO	
------------	--

Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. 2. 3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1. 2. 3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Annex 4

Project Score (Out of 100)	%
-----------------------------------	---

Project No.	
--------------------	--

Project Type (LATF/CDF)	
--------------------------------	--

Project No.	
--------------------	--

Project Name		Constituency Name	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time:

Annex 5



November 11, 2010.

Hon. Gideon Maitha,
MP, Malindi Constituency,
National Assembly,
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Maitha,

RE: MALINDI CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD


The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. On 6th April 2010, the NTA published 22 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 22 constituencies in all eight provinces.

In Phase 3 of the NTA's national CDF monitoring programme an additional 33 constituencies were selected and audited, one of which is the constituency you represent. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Malindi constituency, the NTA herein encloses a draft copy of the Malindi Constituency CDF Citizens' Report Card for your comments and feedback prior to printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

Please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734500940 at the NTA Secretariat to arrange a meeting to discuss the draft CRC or send written comments to the NTA Secretariat. Kindly note that lack of feedback by Monday 22nd November, 2010 on the draft CRC will be considered as a confirmation of the report and we shall proceed with the printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,


Kizito Wangalwa,
National Coordinator,
National Taxpayers Association (NTA)

C.C. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer
CDF Coordination Board
Harambee Co-operative Plaza, 10th Floor
Nairobi.

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke