

# Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Kinango Constituency Kwale County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

© National Taxpayers Association  
January, 2011

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kinango Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Recommendations for Hon. Samuel Gonzi Rai and Kinango CDFC</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>NTA Research Methodology</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08</b>	
	<b>Category A projects</b>	<b>9 - 13</b>
	<b>Category B projects</b>	<b>14 - 16</b>
	<b>Category C projects</b>	<b>17 - 22</b>
	<b>Category D projects</b>	<b>22</b>
	<b>Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form</b>	<b>23</b>
	<b>Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire</b>	<b>24</b>
	<b>Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form</b>	<b>26</b>
	<b>Annex 5 &amp; 6: Correspondence between NTA and Member of Parliament</b>	<b>27</b>

## 1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located at the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 82 constituencies and 15 Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF) Reports from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Kinango Constituency. The report covers one government Financial Year 2007/08.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and October 2010.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

## 2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Kinango Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Ksh. 191,254,065.20** has been allocated to the constituency since 2003/2004 to Financial Year 2007/08. .
- The main finding from NTAs research in Kinango constituency are listed in Table 2 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- Correspondence with the area Member of parliament on the constituency Citizen Report Card is listed in Annex 5.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Kinango Constituency Financial Years 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Kinango	6,000,000	31,464,438	40,693,823.20	56,373,805	56,721,999	<b>191,254,065.20</b>

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:-

**Kshs. 3,320,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects.**

5% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects.

**Kshs. 200,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to abandoned projects.**

0.3% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on abandoned projects.

**Kshs. 16,650,000 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for.**

23% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

### **3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Samuel Gonzi Rai and Kinango CDFC**

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects.
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds.
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects.
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

### **3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board**

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

**Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Kinango Constituency**

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	<b>Well built, completed projects</b> - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers'.	28	25,311,900	19,411,900	5,900,000
B	<b>Badly built, complete and incomplete projects</b> - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	9	6,120,000	3,320,000	2,800,000
C	<b>Well built, ongoing projects</b> - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	21	39,798,230	31,848,230	7,950,000
D	<b>Abandoned Projects</b> - projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year.	1	350,000	200,000	150,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>71,580,130</b>	<b>54,780,130</b>	<b>16,650,000</b>

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
<b>Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)</b>	<b>3,320,000</b>	<b>5%</b>
<b>Total Money Wasted (D Projects)</b>	<b>200,000</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Budget Unaccounted For</b>	<b>16,650,000</b>	<b>23%</b>

## 4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

### 1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Regional Officer held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08.

### 2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Regional Officer visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)<sup>1</sup>.

### 3. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Regional Officer contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

### 4. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Regional Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects as follows:

#### Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form (Annex 4)<sup>2</sup>, and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

#### Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

#### Category C Projects – Well implemented, ongoing projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is ongoing.

#### Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

#### Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects officially allocated funds which had been spent, but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

<sup>1</sup> The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

<sup>2</sup> The Regional Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Technical assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

### **Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds**

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

### **5. Comments and Review**

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments (see letter in Annex 5). The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.


In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments. The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.


# Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,  
Project Profiles and digital photographs  
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in  
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08


## Member of Parliament


2002 - 2007	Hon. Samuel Gonzi Rai
2008 - 2012	Hon. Samuel Gonzi Rai


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/002/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzovuni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gandini		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	24/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	360,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	360,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project is complete and in use. The amount spent is within the budget and time frame. The implementation was well executed. The project has improved the status of school facilities through expansion of their capacity.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/046/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Gozani dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary.		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,148,230		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,398,230		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was implemented using good quality materials; strong doors and windows were fitted and it is painted. The community participated both in the identification and implementation although the facility is not in use.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/007/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Miguneni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of desks		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kasemeni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	24/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	300,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	90%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The desks are of good quality and they are strong. The desks have improved the sitting arrangements in the school, particularly in some of the classrooms where the floor is not cemented and exposes the students to jiggers.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/009/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mpirani primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kasemeni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	4/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The classroom was well implemented; the materials used are of good quality and the windows are specious for free flow of air.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/012/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Moyeni Girls secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Tuition / administration block		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	5,500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	5,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structures established, materials used, and the finishing shows good use of funds allocated. There is value for taxpayers money			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/014/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Gwadu primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of desks		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Good quality desks were supplied to the school and they are being used. Good value for taxpayers money to the community.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/016/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Moyeni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The classroom has been well constructed using good quality materials, the workmanship is standard.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/050/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbita-nyango & Mwakijembe-Mtumwa Road		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Road opening and shaping		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/4/2010 and 2/6/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	5,665,440		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	5,665,440		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	60%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The compression has been well implemented, thus allowing stability of the road users. There is good drainage which makes the road to be used even during the rain season.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/018/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Vinyunduni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	New dispensary		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Makamini		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/3/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was a priority to the locals as initially they used to walk long distances to access medical care. The project was well implemented using good quality materials.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/020/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mtaa primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mtaa		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	8/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented. Good quality materials were used; the classroom was fitted with strong doors and windows, painted and in use.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/025/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mgandini primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of Desks		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwatate		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The desks project has improved the learning environment for the pupils. They were made using good quality materials and they are strong hence the school will benefit for long.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/028/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Julani primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of desks		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwavumbo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	53%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Well implemented project as the desks are strong and they have a wide surface for writing. The provision of desks has improved the concentration level of the students in the school due to the fact that some classroom floors are not cemented and expose the students to jiggers and related health hazards.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/029/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Gwasheni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwavumbo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The classroom was well implemented, the windows are spacious and strong hence well vented. The project has added value to the learning environment as it has enabled the decongestion of the class, thus creating a conducive learning environment for the students.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/030/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mariakani Primary School		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwavumbo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The project reflects good quality workmanship, the materials used are of good quality and the project was implemented within the required time frame.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/040/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwembeni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of desks		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The project was well implemented; the quality of materials used are good and the workmanship is standard. There was community participation in the project implementation.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/041/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ndohivyo primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The classroom project has provided relief to the school as its infrastructures had been previously over stretched due to the increased free primary education. The project was implemented using good quality materials and the workmanship is standard.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/042/07-08				
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mgalani primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of desks				
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Taru				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000			<b>Project category</b>	A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The high intake experienced due to free primary education has overwhelmed the school facilities, thus the provision of the desks has added value to service provision. The desks were made from good quality materials and they are strong.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/043/07-08				
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbilini primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom				
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	360,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	210,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000			<b>Project category</b>	A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	56%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The classroom was well constructed using good quality materials and the workmanship is commendable. The classroom is spacious to allow free flow of air.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/044/07-08				
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango				
<b>Project Name</b>	Vigurungani primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom				
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	310,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	160,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000			<b>Project category</b>	A
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	66%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The new classroom has reduced the hazards of jiggers affecting the students as the floor is well cemented. The classroom is fitted with strong windows which are large enough to allow the free flow of air as the area is usually hot.					


Project Number	CDF/KNGO/001/07-08			
Constituency	Kinango			
Project Name	Chanzou dispensary			
Project Activity	Dispensary building			
Location/Ward	Chengoni			
Date of Assessment	12/03/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	750,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	750,000		Project category	B
Technical Performance Score	47%		Project Status	Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project will be a relief to the community once operational. Even though the materials are of good quality, the project cost does not represent value for the funds used.				


Project Number	CDF/KNGO/003/07-08			
Constituency	Kinango			
Project Name	Yapha primary school			
Project Activity	One new classroom			
Location/Ward	Gandini			
Date of Assessment	6/10/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	350,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	200,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	150,000		Project category	B
Technical Performance Score	46%		Project Status	Incomplete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structural set-up and the quality of workmanship shows weaknesses.				


Project Number	CDF/KNGO/010/07-08			
Constituency	Kinango			
Project Name	Dumbule primary school			
Project Activity	One new classroom			
Location/Ward	Kinango			
Date of Assessment	9/03/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	360,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	210,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	150,000		Project category	B
Technical Performance Score	47%		Project Status	Incomplete and use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used to implement the project are of good quality; however the structural set-up of the building and the finishing are of low quality. There is no value for money.				


Project Number	CDF/KNGO/048/07-08			
Constituency	Kinango			
Project Name	Tata primary school			
Project Activity	One new classroom			
Location/Ward	Vigurungani			
Date of Assessment	11/03/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	350,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	200,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	150,000		Project category	B
Technical Performance Score	40%		Project Status	Incomplete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Though the project was a necessity, the implementation was poorly done; generally the is poor workmanship and the finishes were poorly done.				


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/021/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mkanyeni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mtaa		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	8/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> B
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	30%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The funds so far spent on the project in relation to the work done is on the higher side. Good quality materials were being used though.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/031/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mkang'ombe primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	25/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	310,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	160,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> B
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	3%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project implementation was done up the foundation level then it stalled. The amount of money spent should have done much more work than what was on the ground during the assessment. There is no value for money to the taxpayers.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/035/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbwaleni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dispensary building		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	1,000,000		<b>Project category</b> B
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	36%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use.
<b>Comments:</b> The workmanship is standard and the materials are of good quality. However, the cost of the project in relation to the actual work accomplished shows less value for taxpayers' money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/047/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwakijembe primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> B
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	40%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The workmanship is good and good quality materials are being used. The cost of the project in relation to the actual work done shows less value for the funds spent on it.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/006/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Bonje Primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kasemeni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	8/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	550,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	43%	<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The classroom has improved the learning conditions in the school. The project was well implemented though the amount of money spent is on the higher side.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/049/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kideri Primary School		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	63%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The classroom has enabled many children to access primary school education in the area. The implementation is within the time frame.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/004/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kibandaongo primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gandini		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	24/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is standard although the project is still ongoing. The availability of the classroom through CDF has eased the congestion experienced in the school which negatively impacted on the concentration levels and the performance of the children.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/005/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Gandini central primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Gandini		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	24/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	550,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	350,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structural set-up of the project and the quality of materials is good. The building has been strengthened to withstand harsh weather conditions.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/008/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mazeras youth polytechnic		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Girls hostel		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kasemeni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	4/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structure was implemented using good quality materials and the building is stable to withstand strong winds experienced in the region.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/011/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinango secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dining hall		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	3,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	2,750,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structure is strong and good quality materials are being used, the workmanship is commendable. The dining hall will improve the hygiene in the school.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/013/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinango youth polytechnic		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Automotive workshop		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> Even though the project is ongoing the structural set-up applied on the building shows that it will guarantee the beneficiaries long term usage. The village polytechnic will provide an opportunity for the students who did not have an opportunity to access technical education.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/015/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Dumbule dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dispensary building		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Kinango		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	9/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented using good quality materials, the veranda has strong support pillars and the dispensary is fitted with strong doors and windows. The building is well painted.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/019/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Bofu Secondary School		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Tuition / administration block		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mtaa		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	8/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	4,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	3,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The administration block was well implemented using good quality materials. The project was a priority to the school. The project is ongoing but in use.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/022/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mkanyeni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dispensary building		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mtaa		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	8/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,148,230		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,398,230		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project has factored the needs of the beneficiaries into its implementation in terms of a waiting lounge, the availability of a water storage facility, and sanitation facilities that are necessary for a dispensary. The topography of the area has also been factored into the structural set-up of the dispensary.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/023/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Matumbi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dispensary building		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwatate		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented using good quality materials and though the building is not complete, the community will benefit once the facility is operational.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/024/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwatate Secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Tuition /administration block		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwatate		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	4,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	3,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented; the implementation is within the time frame. The materials used are of good quality and though the administration block is not complete, it is being used.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/026/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mavirivirini police station		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Police Station		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwatate		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	Nil		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	77%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project implementation reflects good value for money. Good quality materials were used with commendable workmanship. Once operational, the police station will improve the security services to the community in the area.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/032/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Busa dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	New dispensary		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,250,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	750,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	67%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The overall quality of the project in terms of materials, workmanship, and finishing of the structures reflect good value for taxpayers funds. The project once complete will improve access to medical care by the community.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/033/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbita dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	New dispensary		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use.
<b>Comments:</b> Once operational the project will improve access to medical services by the community in the area. The project was well implemented although it is on going.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/034/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbita primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Ndavaya		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	2/6/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	53%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project is ongoing and so far funds have been well spent. The quality of the materials is good with a good workmanship.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/036/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinagoni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Dispensary building		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	1/10/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	74%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community participated both in project identification and implementation. Good workmanship is evident apart from good quality materials being used. So far there is value for taxpayers money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/037/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kwakadogo primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project has decongested the classrooms due to the increased number of intake. The project was well implemented and within the time frame.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/038/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinagoni Secondary School		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Tuition / administration block		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	4,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	3,500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The secondary school has improved access to secondary school education in the area. There was community participation in project selection and so far the project has been well implemented.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/039/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwambani Primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Samburu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	1/10/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structure was well implemented and the quality of materials used shows value for the amount spent so far. The classroom is in use although it is not complete.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/045/07-08		
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango		
<b>Project Name</b>	Gozani Primary School		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Two new classroom		
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Vigurungani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12/03/2010		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000		<b>Project category</b> C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	76%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was identified by the community. Good quality workmanship is evident and the materials used are of good quality.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/017/07-08				
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mackinon road secondary				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Tuition/ administration block				
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mackinon Road				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11/03/2010				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	5,000,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	4,500,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	500,000			<b>Project category</b>	C
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	86%			<b>Project Status</b>	Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented, good quality materials were used; strong doors and windows, the fascia board is well fitted although is yet to be painted. So far there is good value for money.					

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/KNGO/027/07-08				
<b>Constituency</b>	Kinango				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwabila primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	One new classroom				
<b>Location/Ward</b>	Mwavumbo				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	5/03/2010				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	150,000			<b>Project category</b>	D
<b>Technical Performance Score</b>	57%			<b>Project Status</b>	Incomplete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Although the project was well implemented using good quality materials, the project is taking too long to complete. The classroom is in use though.					

## Annex 2

<b>Recommendation</b> (mark one box)	<b>Stage 1 =</b> <b>OK</b>	<b>Stage 2 = Further</b> <b>Investigation</b>	<b>Report No.</b> <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 20px; vertical-align: middle;"></span>

<b>Constituency Name</b>		<b>Location/Ward</b>	
<b>Project Name</b>		<b>Project Number</b>	
<b>Project Activity</b>		<b>Rural/Urban</b>	
<b>Project category (2007)</b>		<b>Project category (2008)</b>	

<b>Project Status</b>	<b>Complete</b>		<b>Incomplete/stalled</b>		<b>Ongoing</b>		<b>Does not exist</b>	
-----------------------	-----------------	--	---------------------------	--	----------------	--	-----------------------	--

<b>Amount Awarded</b>	<b>FY 2007/08</b>	<b>FY 2006/07</b>	<b>FY 2006/05</b>	<b>FY 2005/04</b>
<b>Kshs.</b>				

<b>Total CDF Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs.</b>	
---	--

<b>Total Other Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs.</b> (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
---	--

<b>Total Funds Spent to date (October 2010) Kshs.</b>	
---	--

<b>CDF Project Manager Name</b>		<b>Contact Nos</b>	
<b>Project Contractor Name</b>		<b>Contact Nos</b>	
<b>Other Contact Person</b>		<b>Contact Nos</b>	

### Field Visit Assessment Information

<b>Name or general position of people met at project site</b>	
<b>1.</b>	<b>3.</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>4.</b>

<b>Project Score</b>	<b>Out of 10</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Community participation in selection/com- munity/users satisfaction</b>		
<b>Project completion status -- on time/within budget?</b>		
<b>Visual assessment of overall quality of con- struction and finish</b>		
<b>Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)</b>		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

<b>Signature of RO:</b>		<b>Time and Date:</b>	
-------------------------	--	-----------------------	--

### Annex 3

Name of RO	
------------	--

**Instructions for ROs**

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. 2. 3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1. 2. 3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

## Annex 4

<b>Project Score (Out of 100)</b>	%
-----------------------------------	---

<b>Project No.</b>	
--------------------	--

<b>Project Type (LATF/CDF)</b>	
--------------------------------	--

<b>Project No.</b>	
--------------------	--

<b>Project Name</b>		<b>Constituency Name</b>	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
<b>(1) Quality of materials used</b>		
<b>(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?</b>		
<b>(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money</b>		
<b>Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)</b>		<b>What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer)</b> 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

<b>Name of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Time:</b>

## Annex 5



9<sup>th</sup> August, 2010

Hon. Samuel Gonzi Rai,  
Member of Parliament for Kinango Constituency,  
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Rai,

**RE: KINANGO CONSTITUENCY CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD**

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. So far, the NTA has published 46 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 46 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 3 of NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 30 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Kinango**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Kinango, the NTA wishes to notify you of the exercise and requests your support to make it a success. The support we require for instance may include up-to-date constituency project information and copies of supporting documentation such as project reallocation letters among others where necessary.

The NTA is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public and seeks to improve the performance of CDF in Kinango constituency. For any clarification, please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours faithfully,

  
Kennedy Masime,  
National Secretary, NTA &  
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)

c.c.  
Ms. Agnes Odhiambo  
Chief Executive Officer, CDF Board Secretariat  
Nairobi

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.  
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke