

Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Lamu West Constituency, Lamu County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Executive Summary	3
	Table 1: CDF Allocations to Lamu West Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08	3
3.0	Recommendations for Hon. Yasin Fahim Twaha and Lamu West CDFC	4
	Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08	5
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	6
	Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08	
	Category A projects	9 - 11
	Category B projects	12
	Category E projects	13
	Category E projects	13
	Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form	14
	Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire	15
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	17
	Annex 5 & 6: Correspondence between NTA and Member of Parliament	18 - 19

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located at the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 82 constituencies and 15 Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF) Reports from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Lamu West Constituency. The report covers one government Financial Year 2007/08.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and October 2010.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Lamu West Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Kshs. 126,884,547** has been allocated to the constituency since Financial Year 2003/04 to Financial Year 2007/08.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Lamu West Constituency are listed in Table 2 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- Correspondence with the area Member of Parliament on the Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 5 and 6.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Lamu West Constituency Financial Years 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Lamu West	6,000,000	21,057,611	26,414,913	36,593,003	36,819,020	126,884,547

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:

Kshs. 6,775,00 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects

19% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects

Kshs. 375,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted on abandoned projects

1% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on abandoned projects

Kshs. 5,250,000 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

15% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Yasin Fahim Twaha and Lamu West CDFC

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Lamu West Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	11	22,600,000	22,600,000	-
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	3	7,900,000	6,775,000	1,125,000
D	Abandoned Projects - projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year.	1	1,500,000	375,000	1,125,000
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	1	3,000,000	-	3,000,000
TOTAL		16	35,000,000	29,750,000	5,250,000

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	6,775,00	19%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	375,000	1%
Budget Unaccounted For	5,250,000	15%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Regional Officer held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Regional Officer visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)¹.

3. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Regional Officer contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

4. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Regional Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects as follows:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form (Annex 4)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, ongoing projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is ongoing.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects officially allocated funds which had been spent, but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

¹ The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

² The Regional Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Technical assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

5. Comments and Review

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments (see letter in Annex 5). The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.


In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments. The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.


Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08


Member of Parliament


2002 - 2007	Hon. Yasin Fahim Twaha
2008 - 2012	Hon. Yasin Fahim Twaha


Project Number	CDF/LW/003/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Moa Water Project				
Project Activity	Installation of Water Pipes				
Location/Ward	Witu				
Date of Assessment	08-08-10				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	60%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The installation of water pipes and the construction of water kiosk were well implemented and within the budget and time. The project has helped the community get access to clean piped water for domestic use. This will positively impact on the health condition of the community.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/004/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Shella Secondary School				
Project Activity	Construction of new secondary school				
Location/Ward	Amu				
Date of Assessment	7/08/10				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	70%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project was well implemented within the budget. Good quality materials were used and the workmanship is equally good. Being the only girls' secondary school in the vicinity, the school has given chance to student in the area access to education. The school lacks essential facilities like laboratory and library.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/005/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Hindi Secondary School				
Project Activity	Construction of administration block, Library and Laboratory				
Location/Ward	Hindi				
Date of Assessment	09/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	90%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structures is stable; the quality of materials used are good and the finishing was well carried out. The school has increased access to secondary education.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/006/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Lake Amu Secondary				
Project Activity	Construction of Administration Block, Library and Books				
Location/Ward	Mpeketoni				
Date of Assessment	12/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structures was well done both the interior and exterior. The funds spent were within the budget. The project has impacted positively on the students in the area by providing a good learning environment.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/008/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Hongwe Secondary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 4 classrooms and Administration Block				
Location/Ward	Mpeketoni				
Date of Assessment	12/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	90%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This is a well implemented project; the fascia board is well fitted, the veranda is well supported with strong pillars. The project has had a huge impact in terms of providing learning opportunity to secondary students in the area. There is value for money to the taxpayers.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/012/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Mapenya Primary School				
Project Activity	Rehabilitation of 2 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Mpeketoni				
Date of Assessment	13/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure is superb with fine finishing. The classrooms look new after the walls were plastered and painted, the floor of the classrooms were nicely floored. This has immensely improved the learning environment for the students adding value to education in the area. Good value for money.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/007/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Mkunumbi Secondary School				
Project Activity	Construction of new secondary School				
Location/Ward	Mpeketoni				
Date of Assessment	12/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	55%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project was well implemented using good quality materials. The project is complete and in use by the community. The school has made the learning environment very conducive for the students.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/014/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	CDF Vehicle (Land Cruiser)				
Project Activity	Purchase of a land Cruiser				
Location/Ward	Lamu West CDF Office				
Date of Assessment	13/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	90%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The vehicle is in use and in good condition.					


Project Number	CDF/LW/010/2007-08			
Constituency	Lamu West			
Project Name	Soroko Primary School			
Project Activity	Borehole and Toilets			
Location/Ward	Witu			
Date of Assessment	14/08/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	400,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	400,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	0		Category	A
Technical Performance Score	70%		Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project was well implemented and the community is able to access clean water for both domestic and animal use. The project has contributed immensely to the sanitation conditions of the school.				


Project Number	CDF/LW/013/2007-08			
Constituency	Lamu West			
Project Name	Wetemere Primary School			
Project Activity	Construction of 8 classrooms, office block and toilets			
Location/Ward	Mpeketoni			
Date of Assessment	13/08/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,000,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	4,000,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	-		Category	A
Technical Performance Score	58%		Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project was implemented within time. Good quality materials were used and the workmanship is of the required standard. The school which is the only one of its kind in the area has contributed to the learning process for the students in the area. The project is good value for tax payers money.				


Project Number	CDF/LW/015/2007-08			
Constituency	Lamu West			
Project Name	Didewarede and Manda Maweni Public Toilets			
Project Activity	Construction of 18 public toilets in Didewarede and 24 Public Toilets in Manda Maweni			
Location/Ward	Witu and Amu			
Date of Assessment	14/08/2010			
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,200,000			
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,200,000			
Total Unaccounted Funds	-		Category	A
Technical Performance Score	90%		Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structures are newly constructed and hence still intact. At the time of assessment, painting of the project had just been completed. The toilets will contribute to improved sanitation situation in the area and mitigate cases of disease outbreaks.				

Project Number	CDF/LW/001/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	School Desks				
Project Activity	Purchase of 160 desks for 8 Schools respectively.				
Location/Ward	Moa, Hongwe, Mkunumbi, Shella, Matondoni, Hindi, Boko and Mkomani.				
Date of Assessment	06/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,400,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,400,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	40%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: For the amount allocated for the desks, the desks are too small and of extremely inferior quality making it very uncomfortable for the students using them. The project is an example of poor value for taxpayers money.					

Project Number	CDF/LW/016/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Kijitoni and Gadeni Drainage				
Project Activity	Construction and Paving of the drainage				
Location/Ward	Amu				
Date of Assessment	09/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	4,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	4,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	30%			Project Status	Incomplete and in use
Comments: Though the Kijitoni drainage is complete, the Gadeni drainage is yet to be completed and has been abandoned. This is a waste of a huge amount of funds allocated to the project. There is absolutely no value for taxpayers money.					

Project Number	CDF/LW/011/2007-08				
Constituency	Lamu West				
Project Name	Witu Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of Library and Purchase of books				
Location/Ward	Witu				
Date of Assessment	26/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	375,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,125,000			Current	B
Technical Performance Score	%			Project Status	Incomplete and not in use.
Comments: The project was poorly implemented; apart from being abandoned, the amount of money spent should have done a better job than what is seen in the attached photo. The taxpayer is not getting value for money.					

Project Number	CDF/LW/009/2007-08		
Constituency	Lamu West		
Project Name	Lamu Boys Secondary School		
Project Activity	Construction of Library		
Location/Ward	Amu		
Date of Assessment	07/08/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	375,000		
Total Unaccounted Funds	1,125,000		
Technical Performance Score	50%	Project Status	Incomplete and not in use.
Comments: The project is still at the foundation stage and nothing seems to be going on. It is wastage of resource and a poor value for tax payers money.			

Project Number	CDF/LW/002/2007-08		
Constituency	Lamu West		
Project Name	Construction of CDF Office		
Project Activity	Construction of Lamu West Constituency CDF Office		
Location/Ward	Amu		
Date of Assessment	06/08/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,000,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	0		
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,000,000		
Technical Performance Score	N/A	Project Status	Does not exist
Comments: Though the fund has been allocated, nothing has been done on the ground.			

Annex 2
CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.	

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
MPs Name (2007)		MPs Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
----------------	----------	--	--------------------	--	---------	--	----------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
---	--

Total Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
------------------	--	----------------	--

Annex 3

CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of RO

Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. 2. 3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1. 2. 3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 4

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)	%		Project No.	
Project Type (LATF/CDF)			Project No.	

Project Name		Constituency Name	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

District Name		Location / Ward Name	
----------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time:

Annex 5



9th August, 2010

Hon. Fahim Yasin Twaha,
Member of Parliament for Lamu West Constituency,
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Twaha,

RE: LAMU WEST CONSTITUENCY CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. So far, the NTA has published 46 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 46 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 3 of NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 30 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Lamu West**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Lamu West, the NTA wishes to notify you of the exercise and requests your support to make it a success. The support we require for instance may include up-to-date constituency project information and copies of supporting documentation such as project reallocation letters among others where necessary.

The NTA is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public and seeks to improve the performance of CDF in Lamu West constituency. For any clarification, please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours faithfully,

Kennedy Masime,
National Secretary, NTA &
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)

c.c.
Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer, CDF Board Secretariat
Harambee Plaza, 10th Floor
Nairobi

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke

Annex 6



March 14, 2011.

The Chairman
CDFC,
Lamu West Constituency
LAMU WEST

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: LAMU WEST CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD - APPRECIATION

NTA wish to thank you for finding time to review the report and sending us your comments.

The comments will indeed add value to the final report. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

NTA is committed to objective and evidence-based reporting on CDF performance. Once again we reiterate our appreciation and look forward to solidifying this partnership.

Yours Faithfully,

**Kizito Wangalwa,
National Coordinator,
National Taxpayers Association (NTA).**

C.C. Hon. Yasin Twaha Fahim
Member of Parliament,
Lamu West Constituency
Nairobi

CC. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer
CDF Coordination Board
Harambee Co-operative Plaza
10th Floor
Nairobi.

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke