

Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Garsen Constituency, Tana River County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Executive Summary	3
	Table 1: CDF Allocations to Garsen Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2007/08	3
3.0	Recommendations for Hon. Danson Mungatana and Garsen CDFC	4
	Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2007-08	5
4.0	NTA Research Methodology	6
	Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2007-08	
	Category A projects	9 - 13
	Category B projects	14
	Category E projects	15
	Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form	16
	Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire	17
	Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form	19
	Annex 5 & 6: Correspondence between NTA and Member of Parliament	20 - 21

1.0 Introduction

- This Citizen's Report Card has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF).
- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on improving the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.
- The NTA is supervised by a Governing Council of prominent civil society and private sector leaders. The day to day operations of the NTA are managed by the NTA Secretariat based in Nairobi and eight Regional NTA offices located at the provincial headquarters. The NTA is establishing Constituency Monitoring Committees of volunteers at the constituency level across Kenya.
- The NTA has researched and published Citizen's Report Cards in 82 constituencies and 15 Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF) Reports from all provinces in Kenya. The NTA will cover all 210 constituencies by December 2011.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Garsen Constituency. The report covers one government Financial Year 2007/08.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between January and October 2010.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 07/08 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.

2.0 Executive Summary

- A summary of total funds allocated to Garsen Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of **Kshs. 146,138,846** has been allocated to the constituency since Financial Year 2003/04 to Financial Year 2007/08.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Garsen Constituency are listed in Table 2 below.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.
- Correspondence with the area Member of Parliament on the Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 5 and 6.

Table 1: CDF Allocations to Garsen Constituency Financial Years 2003/04 - 2007/08

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	Total
Garsen	6,000,000	22,480,418	31,133,252	43,129,393	43,395,783	146,138,846

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2007/2008 are as follows:

Kshs. 5,097,987 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects

12% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 were on ineffective projects

Kshs. 2,412,782 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for

6% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2007-08 are unaccounted for

3.1 Recommendations for Hon. Danson Mungatana and Garsen CDFC

1. Complete all incomplete CDF projects
2. Trace and fully account for all missing funds
3. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects
4. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities

3.2 Recommendations for the CDF Board

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.

Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2007-08 in Garsen Constituency

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
A	Well built, completed projects - good quality construction, good value for money for taxpayers’.	17	36,181,240	35,870,471	310,769
B	Badly built, complete and incomplete projects - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	4	5,200,000	5,097,987	102,013
E	Ghost projects - officially allocated funds, but project does not physically exist	1	2,000,000	-	2,000,000
TOTAL		22	43,381,240	40,968,458	2,412,782

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)	5,097,987	12%
Total Money Wasted (D Projects)	-	-
Budget Unaccounted For	2,412,782	6%

4.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Regional Officer held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08.

2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Regional Officer visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2007/08. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)¹.

3. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Regional Officer contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

4. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Regional Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects as follows:

Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form (Annex 4)², and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

Category C Projects – Well implemented, ongoing projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Technical Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but incomplete, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is ongoing.

Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects officially allocated funds which had been spent, but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

¹ The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

² The Regional Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Technical assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

5. Comments and Review

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments. The MP was given a period of one month to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.


In response to comments from the NTA, a number of MPs requested a meeting to discuss our findings or sent letters with comments. The NTA considered all comments and made changes in the draft CDF CRC where we had adequate supporting documentation.


Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,
Project Profiles and digital photographs
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in
the Financial Year (FY) 2007 - 08


Member of Parliament


2002 - 2007	Hon. Danson Mungatana
2008 - 2012	Hon. Danson Mungatana


Project Number	CDF/G/001/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Tarasaa High School				
Project Activity	Purchase of School bus and Rehabilitation of Dilapidated Buildings				
Location/Ward	Tarasa				
Date of Assessment	20/08/10				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	6,020,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,992,321				
Total Unaccounted Funds	27,679			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	90%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The renovation work was done well by giving the dilapidated structures a face lift, providing a better learning environment to students. The school bus was purchased and is in use. This will consequently enhance learning standard.					


Project Number	CDF/G/002/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Kipao Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 Classrooms				
Location/Ward	Kipao				
Date of Assessment	17-10-2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	72%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure was done well with good finishing. The classrooms have added value to education in the school by providing better learning environment and also enhance access to primary school education in the area.					


Project Number	CDF/G/003/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Maziwa Youth Polytechnic				
Project Activity	Construction of a Dinning Hall				
Location/Ward	Salama				
Date of Assessment	01-10-2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,800,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,769,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	31,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure was well implemented with very good finishing, the materials used are of good quality. The polytechnic is providing very essential skills to the students studying at the institution. The dining hall provides very ambient environment for the students during meal times.					


Project Number	CDF/G/004/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Maua Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 9 classrooms and 2 small offices				
Location/Ward	Bilisa				
Date of Assessment	16/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	5,000,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	5,000,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	55%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure was well implemented; the materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is also good. The school which was started by CDF has eased pressure from the neighbouring school and it is providing access to primary education in the area.					

Project Number	CDF/G/006/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Chara Farmers Committee				
Project Activity	Purchase of 80 HP Tractor				
Location/Ward	Chara				
Date of Assessment	15/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	3,300,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	3,300,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: A brand new tractor was purchased and is in good condition. The tractor is used in tilling farms for the community at a subsidized price. This has improved food security in the area since the farmers can now till a larger portion of their land.					


Project Number	CDF/G/008/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Majiweni Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Kilelengwani				
Date of Assessment	20/08/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,150,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,140,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	10,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	77%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The classrooms were well constructed using good quality materials, strong metal doors and windows were well fitted. The project has had positive impact on the education in the area by providing conducive learning environment for the pupils.					

Project Number	CDF/G/005/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Semikaro Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 4 Classrooms and an Office Block.				
Location/Ward	Charo				
Date of Assessment	15/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,100,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,100,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	60%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The classrooms were well done using good quality materials, the windows are spacious enough to allow free flow of air. The four classrooms are providing more access to primary education and a better learning environment to the pupils.					


Project Number	CDF/G/009/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Kilelengwani Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 2 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Kilelengwani				
Date of Assessment	26/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	150,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	150,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	72%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure is stable as it was well implemented. The workmanship is up to the required standards and the classrooms are also spacious. The classrooms have given the local pupils an opportunity to access primary education.					

Project Number	CDF/G/007/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Baomo Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 Classroom Block				
Location/Ward	Ndera				
Date of Assessment	16/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	88%			Project Status	Complete and in use


Comments: The structure was well done with fairly good finishing. The fascia board was nicely fitted and the classrooms well painted, the verandah has strong support pillars. The extra classrooms have given the pupils an opportunity to study in a conducive environment.

Project Number	CDF/G/011/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Onwaride Feeder Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Wachu Oda				
Date of Assessment	16/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,460,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	40,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	70%			Project Status	Complete and in use


Comments: The structure was well done with good finishing. Good workmanship is evident. The project has increased access to primary education.


Project Number	CDF/G/016/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	On Kolde Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 Classroom Block				
Location/Ward	Galili				
Date of Assessment	02/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	70%			Project Status	Complete and in use


Comments: The project was well implemented and shows good workmanship. Good quality materials were used and the community is using the classrooms. The classrooms are invaluable to the pupils who are using them since it gives them a conducive learning environment. This will in the long run improve the level of education in the school.


Project Number	CDF/G/018/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Assa community centre				
Project Activity	Construction of a new hall				
Location/Ward	Assa				
Date of Assessment	15/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	0			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use


Comments: The structure was well done with good finishing. The facility which is the only one of its kind in the area is not only used by the community but also serves as an income generating venture for the community by hiring it out.


Project Number	CDF/G/012/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Odole Feeder Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Kone Mansa				
Date of Assessment	26/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,451,600				
Total Unaccounted Funds	48,400			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	65%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure was done with fairly good finishing. The school has three classrooms only. The funds were well spent within the budget and the project was implemented in good time.					


Project Number	CDF/G/017/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Sera Cattle Crush				
Project Activity	Construction of a Crush				
Location/Ward	Mwina				
Date of Assessment	27/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	250,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	246,310				
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,690			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	50%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: This is the only crush in the area. Though it was well done, the project has been neglected in terms of routine maintenance by the community.					

Project Number	CDF/G/019/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Ngao Hospital				
Project Activity	Rehabilitation work				
Location/Ward	Ngao				
Date of Assessment	26/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,411,240				
Total Funds Spent to Date	2,306,240				
Total Unaccounted Funds	105,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	60%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The rehabilitation work included painting and replacement of the worn out iron sheets which were well done. The renovation has restored the dilapidated structures giving it better look.					


Project Number	CDF/G/020/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Didaade Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 Classroom Block				
Location/Ward	Shirikisho				
Date of Assessment	15/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,465,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	35,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	70%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The structure was well implemented, good workmanship is exhibited. Good quality materials were used and the structure is stable. The size of the classrooms conform to the ministry of education requirements. The project is good value for tax payers money.					

Project Number	CDF/G/022/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Kipao Community Water Project				
Project Activity	Repair of an existing water project				
Location/Ward	Kipao				
Date of Assessment	17/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	490,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	10,000			Category	A
Technical Performance Score	80%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The water tank and the piping were well done. The community is satisfied with the project which they are managing through a women group in the area. There is value for money to the community.					

Project Number	CDF/G/014/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Bubesa Primary School				
Project Activity	Construction of 3 classrooms				
Location/Ward	Mwina				
Date of Assessment	17/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,488,735				
Total Unaccounted Funds	11,265			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	40%			Project Status	Incomplete and in use
Comments: The construction is yet to be completed and in a very sorry state. The project has been abandoned yet it's not complete. This is a total wastage of tax payers money.					

Project Number	CDF/G/021/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Tarasaa Community Water Project				
Project Activity	Repair work of an existing water project				
Location/Ward	Ngao				
Date of Assessment	26/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	700,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	696,765				
Total Unaccounted Funds	3,235			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	45%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: The project was done well and used to serve the community but the meter has been disconnected on the allegation that the water bill has never been cleared. The community is not enjoying value for their money. On the other hand, the money allocated for the work done is also highly inflated.					

Project Number	CDF/G/013/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Sera Primary School				
Project Activity	Rehabilitation work				
Location/Ward	Mwina				
Date of Assessment	14/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,412,484				
Total Unaccounted Funds	87,516			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	48%			Project Status	Complete and in use
Comments: Though the building is complete, there are several visible cracks on the wall indicating poor workmanship. The renovation work was poorly done. The project is of low value to the taxpayers.					

Project Number	CDF/G/015/2007-08				
Constituency	Garsen				
Project Name	Bilisa Community irrigation Project				
Project Activity	Construction of a new irrigation scheme				
Location/Ward	Bilisa				
Date of Assessment	01/10/2010				
Total Funds Awarded to Date	1,500,000				
Total Funds Spent to Date	1,500,000				
Total Unaccounted Funds	-			Category	B
Technical Performance Score	45 %			Project Status	Incomplete and not in use
Comments: The purchased pump is substandard and nothing has been done as currently the pump is lying idle in the mosque. The community was short changed and are not getting value for their money.					

Project Number	CDF/G/010/2007-08	?	
Constituency	Garsen		
Project Name	Kipini Fish Cooler Facility		
Project Activity	Construction of a new fish storage facility		
Location/Ward	Kipini		
Date of Assessment	19/11/2010		
Total Funds Awarded to Date	2,000,000		
Total Funds Spent to Date	0		
Total Unaccounted Funds	2,000,000	Category	E
Technical Performance Score	N/A.	Project Status	Does not exist
Comments: The project does not exist.			

Annex 2
CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

Recommendation (mark one box)	Stage 1 = OK	Stage 2 = Further Investigation	Report No.	

Constituency Name		Location/Ward	
Project Name		Project Number	
Project Activity		Rural/Urban	
MPs Name (2007)		MPs Name (2008)	

Project Status	Complete		Incomplete/stalled		Ongoing		Does not exist	
----------------	----------	--	--------------------	--	---------	--	----------------	--

Amount Awarded	FY 2007/08	FY 2006/07	FY 2006/05	FY 2005/04
Kshs.				

Total CDF Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

Total Other Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs. (NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.)	
---	--

Total Funds Spent to date (August 2010) Kshs.	
---	--

CDF Project Manager Name		Contact Nos	
Project Contractor Name		Contact Nos	
Other Contact Person		Contact Nos	

Field Visit Assessment Information

Name or general position of people met at project site	
1.	3.
2.	4.

Project Score	Out of 10	Comments
Community participation in selection/community/users satisfaction		
Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish		
Total Project Rapid Score (out of 30)		

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad

Signature of RO:		Time and Date:	
------------------	--	----------------	--

Annex 3

CDF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:

Name of RO

Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. CDF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		

17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?	_____		
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of CDF projects?	1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

Annex 4

Technical Project Assessment Form

Project Score (Out of 100)	%	Project No.	
Project Type (LATF/CDF)		Project No.	

Project Name		Constituency Name	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

District Name		Location / Ward Name	
----------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Project completion status -- on time/within budget?		
(3) Project cost – does it represent value for money		
Total (total the marks given out of 30, then list %)		What is your overall recommendation (circle one answer) 1. Project <u>has been</u> well implemented and looks as though there is good value for money for the community. 2. Project <u>has not been well</u> implemented and looks as though funds have not been well used. 3. Is difficult to fully assess.
	%	

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

Name of technical reviewer:	Date:
Signature of technical reviewer:	Time:

Annex 5



9th August, 2010

Hon. Danson Mungatana,
Member of Parliament for Garsen Constituency,
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Mungatana,

RE: GARSEN CONSTITUENCY CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. So far, the NTA has published 46 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 46 constituencies in all eight provinces.

Under Phase 3 of NTAs national CDF monitoring programme an additional 30 constituencies have been selected, one of which is the constituency you represent, **Garsen**. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Garsen, the NTA wishes to notify you of the exercise and requests your support to make it a success. The support we require for instance may include up-to-date constituency project information and copies of supporting documentation such as project reallocation letters among others where necessary.

The NTA is committed to objective, evidence-based reporting on CDF performance to the public and seeks to improve the performance of CDF in Garsen constituency. For any clarification, please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734 500 940 at the NTA Secretariat office to arrange a meeting to discuss this further.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours faithfully,

Kennedy Masime,
**National Secretary, NTA &
Executive Director, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD)**

c.c.

Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer, CDF Board Secretariat
Harambee Plaza, 10th Floor
Nairobi

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke

Annex 6



March 14, 2011.

The Chairman
CDFC.
Garsen Constituency
GARSEN

Dear Sir/Madam,

RE: GARSEN CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD - APPRECIATION

NTA wish to thank you for finding time to review the report and sending us your comments.

The comments will indeed add value to the final report. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

NTA is committed to objective and evidence-based reporting on CDF performance. Once again we reiterate our appreciation and look forward to solidifying this partnership.

Yours Faithfully,

Kizito Wangalwa,
National Coordinator,
National Taxpayers Association (NTA).

- C.C. Hon. Danson Mungatana
Member of Parliament,
Garsen Constituency
Nairobi
- CC. Ms. Agnes Odhiambo
Chief Executive Officer
CDF Coordination Board
Harambee Co-operative Plaza
10th Floor
Nairobi.

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037-00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4. Website: www.nta.or.ke